



**REPORT OF THE SEVENTEENTH MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE OF EXPERTS  
OF THE PEREZ-GUERRERO TRUST FUND FOR ECDC/TCDC  
New York, 23-26 July 2002**

**OFFICE OF THE CHAIRMAN OF THE GROUP OF 77  
NEW YORK**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>I. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>II. REVIEW OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE PGTF .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Highlights of fifteen years of operation of PGTF (1986-2001) .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Yield of PGTF resources .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Expansion of PGTF resources .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Guidelines for utilization of PGTF .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Operational arrangements .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>PGTF and the Havana Programme of Action .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Survey of the impact of PGTF .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>III. CONSIDERATION OF NEW PROJECT PROPOSALS.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>(1) Evaluation of Washing Efficiency of Powder Detergent .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>(2) Technological transfer in sericulture from Colombia to Ecuador and Bolivia .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>(3) Preventive (in Peru) and Control Programme (in Bolivia and Brazil) against <i>anthonomus grandis boheman beaked Mexican of the cotton crop</i> .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>(4) Development of water Resources in the Badia Region (Jordan).....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>(5) Training, Consultation and Awareness Building for Small Hydro Power         in Developing Countries.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>(6) Project for combating stranding for the protection of the village of Beni Mhemed         (Governorate of Kébili – South Tunisia) .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>(7) Caribbean Postgraduate Center.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>(8) Trade and Investment Summit 2002 in South Africa .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>(9) Support to the Coordinating Secretariat of the Zambia-Malawi-Mozambique         Growth Triangle (ZMM-GT) for the Implementation of the ZMM-GT.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>(10) Training on Community-based Reproductive Health/Family Planning Program         and Roles of Non-governmental Organizations.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>(11) Study on the Preparation of Water and Wastewater for Private Sector         Participation (PSP) Project.....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>(12) Reviving Low Cost Mud Adobe House for Poor Urban and Rural Communities .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>(13) Rural Areas Networking in Third World Countries .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>(14) Training of Irrigation Designers for Undertaking the Work of Developing         the Water Resources in Developing Countries .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>(15) Productive Municipalities for Health and Food Security.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>(16) Increase in Sugar Production by Microbiological Inhibition of <i>Leuconostoc spp.</i> and other         bacteria.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>(17) Institutional Strengthening for Poverty Reduction and Equity Enhancement .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>(18) Diagnosis of the pesticides-derived pollution in potato growing in         Bolivia, Peru and Ecuador.....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>(19) Strengthening South-South Africa/Asia/Latin America and the Caribbean         Cooperation for economic and social integration.....</b>	<b>29</b>

<b>(20)</b>	<i>Regional Technical Cooperation Programme: Support to Economic Research in MERCOSUR</i> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>(21)</b>	<i>Territorial Imbalances and University Education: Impact of Territorial Imbalances on University Students Performance</i> .....	<b>31</b>
<b>(22)</b>	<i>Strengthening of Strategies for Reducing Drug Consumption Demand</i> .....	<b>32</b>
<b>(23)</b>	<i>Use of Microbial Preparations as Activators of Ruminant Microbial Populations of Ruminants Fed on Tropical Forages to Enhance Animal Production</i> .....	<b>33</b>
<b>(24)</b>	<i>Indicators system of information and communication technologies for Statistics Conference of the America (SCA) member countries</i> .....	<b>33</b>
<b>(25)</b>	<i>Cooperation in Competitiveness Promotion and Andean Fair Competition System</i> .....	<b>34</b>
<b>(26)</b>	<i>Design of a System of Management of Used Pesticide Containers</i> .....	<b>35</b>
<b>(27)</b>	<i>Promotion of Legal Trading and Forestry Products in the Peru-Brazil-Bolivia Border</i> .....	<b>36</b>
<b>(28)</b>	<i>Establishment of an ECOWAS Center/Institute for Economic, Social and Technological Data in Ghana</i> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>(29)</b>	<i>Macro-economic Cooperation and Regional Statistical Support under the Multilateral Surveillance Mechanism</i> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>(30)</b>	<i>South-South Cooperation and Reshaping Globalization for Sustainable Development</i> .....	<b>38</b>
<b>(31)</b>	<i>Negotiating Trade in Services: Implication for the Andean Community</i> .....	<b>38</b>
<b>(32)</b>	<i>Capacity-building in Bio-Safety for the Caribbean</i> .....	<b>39</b>
	<b>Summary of project proposals recommended for adoption</b> .....	<b>40</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROVED PROJECTS</b> .....	<b>42</b>
	<b>Projects under implementation</b> .....	<b>44</b>
	<b>Projects under preparation still to be implemented</b> .....	<b>64</b>
	<b>Projects under query</b> .....	<b>66</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>OTHER MATTERS</b> .....	<b>66</b>
	<b>Survey of the impact of PGTF</b> .....	<b>66</b>
	<b>Annex I: List of participants</b> .....	<b>74</b>
	<b>Annex II: Inactive projects</b> .....	<b>75</b>
	- <b>projects completed</b> .....	<b>75</b>
	- <b>projects not implemented and allocated funds reverted to PGTF</b> .....	<b>92</b>
	<b>Annex III: Financial statement of the PGTF</b> .....	<b>96</b>

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

**1. Pursuant to the provisions of the High-level Meeting on ECDC held in Cairo in August 1986, the rules of procedure adopted at its first meeting held in Caracas in August 1987, the provisions of the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the Ministers for Foreign Affairs of the Group of 77 held in New York in September 1988, the Seventh Meeting of the Intergovernmental Follow-up and Coordination Committee on ECDC (IFCC-VII) held in Kuala Lumpur in July-August 1989, the First Annual Meeting of the Group of 77, held in New York in September 1989, the Eighth Meeting of the Intergovernmental Follow-up and Coordination Committee on ECDC (IFCC-VIII), held in Panama City in August-September 1993, the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Annual Ministerial Meetings of the Group of 77, held in New York respectively in September 1994 and September 1995, the Ninth Meeting of the Intergovernmental Follow-up and Coordination Committee on ECDC (IFCC-IX), held in Manila on 9-12 February 1996, and the Twentieth, Twenty-first, Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meetings of the Group of 77 held in New York respectively in September 1996, September 1997, September 1998, September 1999, September 2000 and November 2001 the Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund (PGTF) for ECDC/TCDC held its seventeenth meeting in New York from 23 to 26 July 2002.**

**2. The meeting was attended by five of the six members of the Committee, representing the three regions of the Group of 77. Dr. Eduardo Praselj was elected chairman of the Committee for the biennium 2002-2003. The list of participants appears as annex I.**

**3. A representative of the Treasury Division of UNDP was invited by the Committee to provide information on matters related to the yield of PGTF resources and the structure of the PGTF portfolio. A representative from the Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP was also invited to provide information on matters related to the PGTF.**

**4. The Committee adopted the following substantive agenda:**

- 1. Review to the operations of the PGTF;**
- 2. Consideration of new project proposals;**
- 3. Assessment of the implementation of approved projects;**
- 4. Other matters.**

**5. The Committee had before it the following documents:**

- 1. Thirty-two (32) project proposals;**
- 2. Eleven (11) progress, terminal and financial reports**
- 3. Note by the Office of the Chairman on the status of approved projects**
- 4. Note by UNDP on the composition of the PGTF portfolio.**
- 5. Note by UNDP on the financial status of PGTF and the status of implementation of approved projects.**

6. According to the information submitted by UNDP on the financial status of PGTF, total available funds for supporting project proposals in 2002 are approximately US\$510,000, including interest projected to be earned until 31 December 2002. Therefore, in line with guideline A(p) for utilization of PGTF the maximum support that could be given to any individual project would be US\$102,000.

## II. REVIEW OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE PGTF

7. The Committee examined a number of operational issues regarding the performance of the PGTF, namely highlights of its operations, yield and expansion of its resources, guidelines, operational arrangements, and survey on the impact of PGTF.

### Highlights of fifteen years of operation of PGTF (1986-2001)

8. In 1986, a High-level Meeting on ECDC held at Cairo defined the main features for the operationalization of PGTF, including definition of the objectives of the Fund and criteria for project eligibility, as well as operational arrangements for the submission, appraisal and approval of project proposals.

9. The trust fund was established with a core capital of US\$5 million. According to the guidelines for its utilization, normally only the interest accruing on the Fund could be used for project support so as to preserve intact the initial US\$5 million. The core capital plus unexpended resources in any given year constitute the interest-bearing resources (i.e., between US\$6.2 and 6.8 million from 1987 to 2001).

10. From 1986 to 2001, 456 project proposals were submitted to the PGTF and according to the guidelines for its utilization, the Fund has provided support to 113 projects with a total allocation of US\$7.8 million, i.e., a yearly average of 7.5 projects and US\$520,000 respectively.

11. In order to increase the multiplier effect of PGTF resources, the guidelines for utilization of PGTF require that project sponsors secure contributions from other sources of an amount at least equal to the contribution requested from PGTF. Contributions from other sources for the 1986-2001 period amount to US\$14.8 million, i.e., US\$ 2 for each US\$ of PGTF resources.

12. A breakdown of the areas that have received support from the PGTF is as follows:

Area	Number of projects	Share of allocated resources (%)
Food and agriculture .....	35	30
Consulting services, training and other TCDC activities .....	31	22
Trade .....	14	19
Information exchange and dissemination .....	12	9
Technology .....	9	8
Other (industrialization, energy, raw materials and finance).....	12	11
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>100</b>

13. On the basis of country coverage, the PGTF financial support has been distributed in the following manner:

Country coverage	Number of projects	Share of allocated resources (%)
Sub regional projects .....	60	42
Interregional projects .....	35	40
Regional projects .....	16	17
Action Committees .....	2	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>100</b>

14. According to the status of implementation of approved projects as of 23 July 2002, the PGTF support has been distributed as follows:

Status of implementation	Number of projects	Share of allocated resources (%)
Completed .....	58	61
Under implementation .....	37	25
Under preparation .....	5	4
Not implemented, funds reverted to PGTF .....	13	10
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>100</b>

### Yield of PGTF resources

15. Following the recommendations contained in paras. 20-23 of the report of the Committee at its ninth meeting, the Committee at its seventeenth meeting examined the situation of the interest rates and yield of PGTF resources.

16. The interest-bearing resources of PGTF include the core capital plus unexpended resources and, according to the information provided by UNDP, total US\$6.8 million as of mid-July 2002. A bond and a time deposit for a total of US\$3.2 million have matured in early 2002 and in light of the great reduction in interest rate levels triggered by repeated cuts in interest rates by the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank, these funds were reinvested in a money market fund so as to be in a position to invest them in longer-term instruments when interest rates be raised again. Some 60% of the PGTF portfolio would mature in late 2002 and these maturities proceeds, combined with the money market fund balance would provide an opportunity to put in place an investment strategy that under the circumstances would maximize the yield of PGTF resources.

17. A breakdown of the main features of the investments instruments as of mid-July 2002 is as follows:

Security	Face amount (US\$)	Maturity date	Buy price (% of face value)	Coupon rate (%)	Effective interest rate (%)
Bond	1,000,000	10/28/02	101.72	6.250	5.69
Bond	2,800,000	11/02/02	102.15	6.015	4.48
Money Market	2,954,033	-	100.00	-	1.75
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6,754,033</b>				<b>3.47</b>

18. According to UNDP, it is anticipated that the U.S. Federal Reserve would postpone its action to raise interest rates. In this context, the investment strategy comprises the following elements: (a) to invest the balance in the money market fund and the remaining 2002 maturity proceeds in securities issued by supranational or government agencies in order to maintain credit quality and enhance yield relative to US Treasury securities; (b) to stagger maturities in a ladder structure with US\$ 1 million maturing in each year for the next five years, the steeper end of the yield curve; and (c) to keep the balance and coupon income in a Triple A-rated short term money market fund.

19. The above-mentioned investment strategy would ensure interest earnings of US\$250,000 in 2002 and an estimated US\$225,000 in 2003. The Committee noted with concern that this low level of interest rates will significantly reduce the ability of PGTF to respond to the growing needs for cooperation among developing countries, and that urgent action should be taken with a view to expanding PGTF resources.

#### Expansion of PGTF resources

20. The Committee examined again practical ways of implementing its recommendations regarding the expansion of the PGTF resources as approved by the Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 in 1996.

21. As identified in 1996, options available for expanding PGTF resources fall within one of the following three categories: (a) increase of the core capital; (b) increase of the yield of the PGTF portfolio; and (c) increase of the multiplier effect of PGTF resources.

##### (a) Increase of the core capital

22. This option implies the enlisting of contributions from potential donors, which may include interested developed and developing countries as well as intergovernmental and non-governmental institutions and organizations.

23. On the basis of the experience gained from 1996 to 2001 and taking into account the recommendations contained in the Havana Programme of Action adopted by the South Summit, the Committee recommended to focus on the following actions, to be carried out by the Chairman of the Group of 77 in New York, with the support of the Chairman of the Committee of Experts of PGTF and in close coordination with the Chairmen/Coordinators of the other Chapters of the Group of 77.

##### (i) Contributions by member countries of the Group of 77

24. The Committee welcomed the twenty-two contributions already made by sixteen developing countries, namely Mauritius (US\$500) in 1997; Pakistan (US\$1,000), and Singapore (US\$2,000) in 1998; the Islamic Republic of Iran (US\$3,000), the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (US\$2,000), Cyprus (US\$2,000), Thailand (US\$2,000), Malaysia (US\$2,000), Algeria (US\$2,000), India (US\$2,000), and the Philippines (US\$1,500) in 1999; China (US\$2,000), the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (a second contribution of US\$2,000) in 2000; Egypt (US\$2,000), the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (a third contribution of US\$2,000), Peru (US\$2,000), South Africa (US\$3,000), and Singapore (a second contribution of US\$2,000) in 2001; and the United Republic of Tanzania (US\$5,000), Cyprus (a second contribution of US\$3,000), the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (a fourth contribution of US\$2,000), and



Thailand (a second contribution of US\$2,290) up to 23 July 2002. Total contributions from these countries amount to US\$47,290.

25. The Committee noted with satisfaction that four developing countries, namely the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (four contributions), Singapore (two contributions), Cyprus (two contributions), and Thailand (two contributions) had made multiple contributions to the PGTF and invited other countries to follow this encouraging initiative.

26. The Committee also recalled that in 2001 the Government of Venezuela pledged to contribute US\$1 million to the core resources of the PGTF, as recommended in the Havana Programme of Action. The Committee invited other developing countries to follow this commendable initiative.

27. The Committee stressed again that what is important is to get the largest possible number of developing countries to support PGTF with contributions, and not necessarily the magnitude of individual contributions. The Committee recommended that the Chairman of the Group of 77 renew his appeal for contributions to the PGTF, since even token contributions would have a significant impact as evidence of the commitment of developing countries to South-South cooperation. To this end, the Committee recommended to maintain the notional amount of US\$2,000 as contribution to the Fund but suggested that larger contributions should be sought from member countries in a position to contribute more.

28. The Committee reiterated its recommendation that a pledging item be included in the agenda of the Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group in September each year, with a view to enlisting contributions from developing countries to the PGTF resources.

(ii) Contributions by major donor countries

29. The Committee recommended that in the light of the recommendation of the South Summit, the Chairman of the Group of 77 assisted by the members of the Committee of Experts undertakes at an appropriate time to approach major donor countries with a view to enlisting their support. The excellent track record of PGTF as well as the expression of commitment from member countries of the Group of 77 through their contributions to the Fund could represent a powerful persuasive element in this endeavour.

(iii) Contributions by private foundations

30. Even though this option has not been explored so far, it might represent a source of funds for expanding PGTF resources. In this regard, the Committee recommended that a limited number of major potential sources of funding be approached with a view to establishing a durable relationship with these foundations in support of PGTF activities, and to this end, the Committee recommended the preparation of an information package on PGTF. However, it

would be necessary to seek guidance from the Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 on the appropriateness and timeliness of bringing in private sector contributions within the purview of the PGTF.

**(iv) Support by UNDP**

**31. The Committee reiterated the recommendations made in previous meetings, as follows:**

- **The UNDP should contribute to the above-mentioned ongoing efforts with a view to mobilizing additional resources for PGTF activities, in accordance with decisions taken by various ministerial meetings of the Group of 77.**
- **The UNDP should be requested to examine the possibilities of releasing unspent resources in existing trust funds. PGTF being an active fund could provide for a much more effective use of resources that are otherwise dormant in inactive or low-activity trust funds. To this end the Committee recommended again that the Chairman of the Group of 77 approach the Administrator of the UNDP.**
- **One important element in fund raising is to increase awareness of the characteristics, past experience and potential of the PGTF. In this regard, it was felt that appropriate channels should be utilized such as press articles, and interviews in addition to the current dissemination mechanisms undertaken by the Office of the Chairman.**
- **A better understanding of the main features of an effective fund-raising campaign is necessary so as to increase the prospects of success of this effort. In this connection, experts in this field should be approached in the near future with a view to seeking their advice and incorporate their recommendations where appropriate.**

**(b) Increase of the yield of the PGTF portfolio**

**32. Preservation of the PGTF core resources should be the paramount consideration when exploring investment options. In the current economic environment, it seems more prudent to invest in securities having high credit quality even at the expense of lower yields than running higher risks in search of higher yields. In this context, the investment strategy adopted for PGTF resources is to focus on securities issued by supranational and government agencies in order to maintain a high credit quality and enhance the yield relative to US Treasury securities.**

**(c) Increase of the multiplier effect of PGTF resources**

33. One of the available options that have been implemented for increasing the multiplier effect of PGTF resources is through co-financing of projects with third parties. To this end, the guidelines for utilization of PGTF require that the project sponsors secure inputs from sources other than the PGTF of an amount at least equal to the resources requested from PGTF.

34. This practice has proven to be effective. While in the period from 1986 to 1997 for every \$US of contributions of PGTF it has been possible to mobilize \$US 0.9 from other sources, in the period 1998-2001 this ratio was increased to 3.8.

35. Other options are to enter into collaborative arrangements for co-financing with other organizations and institutions. It would be advisable to encourage the signing of memoranda of understanding with interested entities that would provide a framework for identifying and selecting projects for co-financing. To this end, the Committee recommended that discussions already underway with the OPEC Fund, UNIDO, and UNEP/GEF, among others, lead to an early signature of collaborative agreements with these institutions. It was also recommended that regional development banks be approached to that end.

#### Guidelines for utilization of PGTF

36. In November 2001, guideline B(a) was amended to increase the term of office of the members of the Committee of Experts from three to four years for those terms beginning on 1 January 2002 and thereafter. The Committee considered that with a view to ensuring rotation and continuity within the Committee, the terms of office of the experts from each region should be staggered so that every expert from a given region is elected in the middle of the term of the other expert from the same region. This would require adjusting the terms of office of one expert from each region whose tenure began before January 1, 2002 from three to four years.

37. The Committee therefore recommended that the terms of office of the three members of the Committee whose term of office started in 2000 be extended by one year to 2003. Following this adjustment, all terms will start in even years, and every 2 years the regional groups will nominate three (3) of the Committee members, one from each region.

38. In order to facilitate the preparation of project proposals by institutions from all member countries of the Group, the Committee recommended that the guidelines and the relevant documents for preparation of proposals (model format, checklist, etc.) be translated into French, Spanish and Arabic and distributed to interested project sponsors. In particular it was recommended that this information be included in the web page of the Group of 77 before the end of 2002.

#### Operational arrangements

39. The Committee was informed by the Chairman of the Group of 77 of a requirement by the UNDP aimed at recovering certain costs incurred in providing support to PGTF funded projects. The Chairman sought the views of the Committee on this matter.

40. The Committee noted with concern that the above-mentioned situation could potentially translate into a sizeable reduction of the availability of resources for supporting projects, in particular in the light of the prevailing low interest earnings. In this regard, the Committee considered that this matter could not be addressed in isolation and that all aspects of PGTF procedures and processes should be examined, in particular bearing in mind that PGTF has been in operation for more than 15 years and that no comprehensive review of its operations has been undertaken so far. In addition, the Committee considered that some guidance from governments as well as detailed preparatory work were necessary in order to be in a position to make meaningful recommendations that would contribute to making PGTF more effective in supporting South-South cooperation. UNDP support in the preparatory work would be of paramount importance for a successful exercise.

41. To this end, on the basis of the Chairman's request, the Committee decided to hold a special session before the end of 2002. This meeting would serve to duly consider these matters as well as to carry out an in-depth evaluation of PGTF past performance and operating procedures with a view to making appropriate recommendations to the Group of 77.

#### **PGTF and the Havana Programme of Action**

42. The Chairman of the Group of 77 called the attention of the Committee to the Havana Programme of Action which contains a recommendation that "invites the Committee of Experts of the PGTF in close coordination with the Chairman of the G-77 to make an annual allocation of resources from each project cycle of PGTF to support the implementation of the South Summit decisions in various relevant sectors taking into account the guidelines for the utilization of the PGTF."

43. The Committee indicated that since the guidelines provide that requests for support should be made through project proposals, the Committee would consider any project proposal falling within the Havana Programme of Action that is in conformity with the guidelines and within the limits of its financial resources. According to its guidelines, projects supported by the PGTF should correspond to South-South cooperation activities, and in this context, they fall within the purview of the Havana Programme of Action.

44. Finally, in order to facilitate preparation of project proposals in the spirit and framework of the Havana Programme of Action recommendations, the Committee recommended that the letter of invitation sent by the Chairman of the Group of 77 to governments and institutions of developing countries at the beginning of each project cycle contain a suitable reference to the Havana Programme of Action as a means of drawing up subjects for proposals to be prepared.

## Survey of the impact of PGTF

45. On the basis of the recommendation of the Committee at its twelfth meeting in 1997, a survey on the impact and benefits of the projects funded by PGTF was initiated in 1998, with a view to supporting the promotion of the Fund. To date the Office of the Chairman has received information on 28 of the 58 PGTF-supported projects that have been completed. A summary of the results of the survey is presented in section V of this report (paras. 351 to 375).

### III. CONSIDERATION OF NEW PROJECT PROPOSALS

46. The Committee had before it thirty-two (32) project proposals submitted to avail of the financing of the PGTF. The list of these proposals is as follows:

- (1) *Evaluation of Washing Efficiency of Powder Detergent*
- (2) *Technological transfer in sericulture from Colombia to Ecuador and Bolivia*
- (3) *Preventive (in Peru) and Control Programme (in Bolivia and Brazil) against anthonomus grandis boheman beaked Mexican of the cotton crop*
- (4) *Development of water Resources in the Badia Region (Jordan)*
- (5) *Training, Consultation and Awareness Building for Small Hydro Power in Developing Countries*
- (6) *Project for combating stranding for the protection of the village of Beni Mhemed (Governorate of Kébili – South Tunisia)*
- (7) *Caribbean Postgraduate Center*
- (8) *Trade and Investment Summit 2002 in South Africa*
- (9) *Support to the Coordinating Secretariat of the Zambia-Malawi-Mozambique Growth Triangle (ZMM-GT) for the Implementation of the ZMM-GT*
- (10) *Training on Community-based Reproductive Health/Family Planning Program and Roles of Non-governmental Organizations*
- (11) *Study on the Preparation of Water and Wastewater for Private Sector Participation (PSP) Project*
- (12) *Reviving Low Cost Mud Adobe House for Poor Urban and Rural Communities*
- (13) *Rural Areas Networking in Third World Countries*
- (14) *Training of Irrigation Designers for Undertaking the Work of Developing the Water Resources in Developing Countries*
- (15) *Productive Municipalities for Health and Food Security*
- (16) *Increase in Sugar Production by Microbiological Inhibition of *Leuconostoc* spp. And other bacteria*
- (17) *Institutional Strengthening for Poverty Reduction and Equity Enhancement*
- (18) *Diagnosis of the pesticides-derived pollution in potato growing in Bolivia, Peru and Ecuador*
- (19) *Strengthening South-South Africa/Asia/Latin America and the Caribbean Cooperation for economic and social integration*

- (20) *Regional Technical Cooperation Programme: Support to Economic Research in MERCOSUR*
- (21) *Territorial Imbalances and University Education: Impact of Territorial Imbalances on University Students Performance*
- (22) *Strengthening of Strategies for Reducing Drug Consumption Demand*
- (23) *Use of Microbial Preparations as Activators of Ruminant Microbial Populations of Ruminants Fed on Tropical Forages to Enhance Animal Production*
- (24) *Indicators system of information and communication technologies for Statistics Conference of the America (SCA) member countries*
- (25) *Cooperation in Competitiveness Promotion and Andean Fair Competition System*
- (26) *Design of a System of Management of Used Pesticide Containers*
- (27) *Promotion of Legal Trading and Forestry Products in the Peru-Brazil-Bolivia Border*
- (28) *Establishment of an ECOWAS Center/Institute for Economic, Social and Technological Data in Ghana*
- (29) *Macro-economic Cooperation and Regional Statistical Support under the Multilateral Surveillance Mechanism*
- (30) *South-South Cooperation and Reshaping Globalization for Sustainable Development*
- (31) *Negotiating Trade in Services: Implication for the Andean Community*
- (32) *Capacity-building in Bio-Safety for the Caribbean*

47. The following two (2) project proposals were received after the established deadline of 30 April 2002 and therefore were not considered by the Committee at this session. They will be taken up at the next meeting of the Committee.

- (1) *Sodium Sulfate Purification Project in Myanmar*
- (2) *Cotton Development Project in Myanmar*

**Project Proposal No. 1- *Evaluation of Washing Efficiency of Powder Detergent***

48. The proposal was submitted by the Royal Scientific Society of Jordan, headquartered in Amman, Jordan. Participating countries are also Bahrain and Syria.

49. According to the text of the proposal, the immediate objective of the project is to evaluate the performance and quality of detergents produced in the region on natural and synthetic textiles, through physical and chemical tests and analyses, with a view to achieving the following longer term objectives: (a) minimize detergent waste to the environment, (b) minimize water consumption, (c) minimize expenditure on powder detergents, (d) establish new standards and

specifications for powder detergents, (e) contribute towards public awareness on detergent use, and (f) promote the detergent export potential.

50. The cost of the project to be carried out in 18 months is estimated at US\$144,500, the contribution sought from PGTF being US\$70,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

	<b>Total contribution (US\$)</b>		
<b>Item</b>	<b>PGTF</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Personnel</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>13,000</b>	<b>43,000</b>
<b>Training and travel</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>
<b>Equipment, instruments, analyses</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>52,500</b>	<b>82,500</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>4,000</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>70,000</b>	<b>74,500</b>	<b>144,500</b>

51. The Committee noted that the Royal Scientific Society of Jordan has submitted a second proposal (namely proposal No. 12) for consideration by the Committee of Experts. According to guideline A(d) for utilization of PGTF, proposing organizations and institutions may submit no more than one project proposal per annum for funding from PGTF. Therefore, the Committee considered both proposals jointly.

52. The Committee considered that both proposals, namely No. 1 and No. 12, in general comply with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF. Given that proposal No. 12 has a wider country coverage than proposal No. 1, the Committee recommended that proposal No. 12 be supported by PGTF.

53. The Committee therefore recommended that proposal No. 1 should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 2 - *Technological transfer in sericulture from Colombia to Ecuador and Bolivia***

54. The proposal was submitted by the Center of Technological Development for Sericulture (CTDS), headquartered in Pereira, Colombia, and endorsed by the Colombian International Cooperation Agency. Participating countries are also Bolivia and Ecuador.

55. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to assist governments and private sector in Bolivia and Ecuador in developing sericulture in rural areas, through training and technology transfer activities to governmental and non-governmental technicians and farmers, in order to enable them to transfer this knowledge to small sericulturists. Beneficiaries would be some 700 small sericulturists in Bolivia, Colombia, and Ecuador and it is expected to increase silkworm production by 20%.

56. The total cost of the project, to be carried out in a one-year period, is estimated at US\$125,000, the contribution sought from PGTF is US\$70,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:



Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	12,000	50,000	62,000
Travel and per diems	36,000	-	36,000
Training	22,000	5,000	27,000
Total	70,000	55,000	125,000

57. In the light of the information provided, the Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

58. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 3 - Preventive (in Peru) and Control Programme (in Bolivia and Brazil) against *anthonomus grandis bohemian* beaked Mexican of the cotton crop**

59. The proposal was submitted by the National Service of Agrarian Health (Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agraria - SENASA), headquartered in Lima, Peru. Participating countries in the project would also be Bolivia and Brazil.

60. According to the text of the proposal, the immediate objectives of the project are: (a) to maintain the pest-free status of Peru vis-à-vis the cotton pest *anthonomus grandis bohemian* and prevent the spreading of this pest already present in Bolivia and Brazil to other pest-free areas, through reinforcement of quarantine measures and integrated pest management; and (b) to prepare and emergency plan for controlling this pest through the combined work of the three countries.

61. The cost of the project to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$172,400, the contribution requested from PGTF is US\$86,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel, incl. travel expenses	6,800	86,400	93,200
Training, incl. travel expenses	46,700	-	46,700
Materials and fungibles	24,100	-	24,100
Other	8,400	-	8,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>86,000</b>	<b>86,400</b>	<b>172,400</b>

62. The Committee noted that the proposing institution, SENASA, has submitted a second proposal (namely proposal No. 26) for consideration by the Committee of Experts. According to guideline A(d) for utilization of PGTF, proposing organizations and institutions may submit no more than one project proposal per annum for funding from PGTF. Therefore, the Committee considered both proposals jointly.

63. The Committee considered that both proposals, namely No. 3 and No. 26, in general comply with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF. Following consultations with the project sponsors, project proposal No. 3 bears a higher priority than No. 26.

64. The Committee therefore recommended that proposal No. 3 avails from the financing of PGTF and that US\$25,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project Proposal No. 4 - *Development of Water Resources in the Badia Region (Jordan)***

65. The proposal was submitted by the AL al-Bayt University, headquartered at Mafraq, Jordan, and endorsed by the Ministry of Planning of Jordan. The other participating countries would be Syria and Palestine.

66. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to develop a regional/transboundary methodology to manage water resources in the Badia region (arid to semiarid), taking into consideration the local environment and socio-economic factors. A regional database containing all relevant physical, environmental, demographic and socio-economic data will be established within the framework of a GIS (geographical information system). The project outcome is expected to have a significant impact on the formulation of a comprehensive water policy for the region and facilitate integrated planning.

67. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$638,040; the contribution sought from PGTF being US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total costs is as follows:

<b>Total contribution (US\$)</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>PGTF</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Personnel</b>	(*)	(*)	<b>106,640</b>
<b>Durable equipment</b>	(*)	(*)	<b>450,000</b>
<b>Field work</b>	(*)	(*)	<b>27,000</b>
<b>Travel</b>	(*)	(*)	<b>17,000</b>
<b>Secondary Data</b>	(*)	(*)	<b>17,000</b>
<b>Secondary contractor</b>	(*)	(*)	<b>20,400</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>534,040</b>	<b>638,040</b>

(\*) No breakdown could be prepared with information provided in the proposal

68. The Committee considered that this proposal complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF, and is therefore eligible for financing. The Committee recommended that this proposal avail from the financing of PGTF and that US\$70,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in two tranches, disbursement of the second tranche being subject to the timely presentation of the relevant progress and financial reports.

**Project Proposal No. 5 - *Training, Consultation and Awareness Building for Small Hydro Power in Developing Countries***

69. The proposal was submitted by the International Network on Small Hydropower, headquartered in Hangzhou, China, and endorsed by the Ministry of Foreign Technical and Economic Cooperation of China.

70. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to promote development of small-scale hydropower in rural areas with a view to providing rural electrification, poverty alleviation and environmental protection. In this context the project includes training of future small-scale hydropower operators, consultative missions and promotion of increased awareness on the benefits and potential of small-scale hydropower. Other participating countries would be Egypt, Iran and India.

71. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a one-year period is estimated at US\$202,400, the contribution sought from PGTF is US\$85,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Training Personnel, incl. accomm.	-	117,400	117,400
Travel and accomm. for trainees	74,000	-	74,000
Publications	11,000	-	11,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>85,000</b>	<b>117,400</b>	<b>202,400</b>

72. The Committee considered that this proposal complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF, and is therefore eligible for financing. The Committee recommended that this proposal avail from the financing of PGTF and that US\$40,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in two tranches, disbursement of the second tranche being subject to the timely presentation of the relevant progress and financial reports.

*Project Proposal No. 6 - Project for combating stranding for the protection of the village of Beni Mhemed (Governorate of Kébili – South Tunisia)*

73. This proposal was submitted by the Ministry of Environment and Regional Planning of Tunisia.

74. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are, (a) to remove a migratory dune that invaded the village center; (b) to utilize this sand for saline soil remediation in the oasis area close to the village; (c) to transform the recovered areas into leisure green spaces for the village's youth; and (d) to set up a wind-breaker green belt west of the village.

75. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a three-year period is estimated at US\$491,831, the totality of which is the contribution sought from PGTF. There is no indication of other participating countries.

76. In the light of the information provided, the nature of the project as well as its activities corresponds to a national project that is not eligible for funding from PGTF. Moreover, even though there is a request for cooperation there is no indication of interest in or participation by other developing countries as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF, and project sponsors have not secured inputs from other sources of an amount at least equal to the resources sought from PGTF as required by guideline A(m).

77. The Committee therefore recommended that this project should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 7 - Caribbean Postgraduate Center**

78. The proposal was submitted by the East University (Universidad de Oriente), headquartered at Santiago de Cuba, under the Ministry of Higher Education of Cuba. Other participating countries would be Dominican Republic and Mexico.

79. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to establish a Caribbean postgraduate center at the Universidad de Oriente, with a view to providing higher education to graduate students, researchers, executives, managers and other professionals from the Caribbean area. Specific objectives include training and education, teaching of Spanish language to non-Spanish speaking participating, publications and the setting up of a virtual laboratory.

80. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$241,768. Contribution sought from PGTF is US\$103,268. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	20,000	15,000	35,000
Travel	-	2,500	2,500
Building and classrooms	-	70,000	70,000
Materials and equipment	56,068	45,000	101,068
Operating expenses	-	6,000	6,000
Technical & scientific information	10,000	-	10,000
Report Printing	13,000	-	13,000
Other	4,200	-	4,200
<b>Total</b>	<b>103,268</b>	<b>138,500</b>	<b>241,768</b>

81. The Committee considered that this proposal complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF, and is therefore eligible for financing. The Committee recommended that this proposal avail from the financing of PGTF and that US\$25,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project Proposal No. 8 - Trade and Investment Summit 2002 in South Africa**

82. The proposal was submitted by the International Trade Group, headquartered in Cape Town, South Africa.

83. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to convene a three-day international meeting in South Africa, where interested international company executives and investors will be invited to hold face-to-face contacts with South African counterparts and government officials, and discuss trade and investment opportunities in the country. No indication of participation by other developing countries is given in the proposal.

84. The amount requested from PGTF is US\$70,000 in order to cover part of the travel and accommodation expenses of the invitees as well as some overhead costs. There is no indication of the total project cost or of the amount of inputs from other sources, albeit there is a list of confirmed and potential sponsors.

85. The Committee considered that the proposal was incomplete. As a result, it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative component of the project, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF, since the proposal contained no evidence of interest in or participation by other developing countries. In addition, the proposal did not comply with guideline A(m), which requires that inputs from other sources should be at least equal to the amount requested from PGTF.

86. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

*Project Proposal No. 9 - Support to the Coordinating Secretariat of the Zambia-Malawi-Mozambique Growth Triangle (ZMM-GT) for the Implementation of the ZMM-GT*

87. The proposal was submitted by the Zambia Investment Center, headquartered in Lusaka under the Ministry of Commerce, Trade and Industry of Zambia. Participating countries are also Malawi and Mozambique.

88. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to contribute to the promotion of regional cooperation and economic integration in Africa through the Zambia-Malawi-Mozambique Growth Triangle (ZMM-GT). In particular, the project aims at: (a) supporting the establishment of private sector/government partnership for the implementation of joint projects within ZMM-GT as well as strengthening the Coordinating Secretariat; (b) producing and disseminating information on the activities of the Coordinating Secretariat and the Private Sector Forum; and (c) studying how ZMM-GT can take advantage of provisions under the Cotonou Agreement as well as how the ZMM-GT can facilitate a more effective response to globalization and competitiveness by the three countries.

89. Total cost of the project to be carried out in fifteen months is estimated at US\$485,200. The contribution sought from PGTF is \$180,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	118,000	82,200	200,200
Travel	13,000	-	13,000
Training	10,000	-	10,000
Equipment	17,000	8,000	25,000
Operating expenses and meetings	5,000	40,000	45,000
Publications	15,000	-	15,000
Other	2,000	175,000*	177,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>180,000</b>	<b>305,200</b>	<b>485,200</b>

(\*) UNDP offices contribution: Zambia (US\$125,000), Malawi (US\$50,000)

90. The Committee considered that this proposal complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF, and is therefore eligible for financing. The Committee recommended that this proposal avail from the financing of PGTF and that US\$70,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in two tranches, disbursement of the second tranche being subject to the timely presentation of the relevant progress and financial reports.

**Project Proposal No. 10 - *Training on Community-based Reproductive Health/Family Planning Program and Roles of Non-governmental Organizations***

91. The proposal was submitted by the National Family Planning Coordinating Board, headquartered in Jakarta, and endorsed by the State Secretariat of Indonesia. Other participating countries are China, Egypt, Pakistan, the Philippines, and Yemen.

92. According to the text of the proposal, the long-term objective of the project are: (a) to enable participating countries to accelerate progress towards enhancing reproductive/family planning programmes through a community-based approach, and (b) to exchange experiences in this field.

93. Total cost of the project to be carried out in a five-month period is estimated at US\$204,945. The contribution sought from PGTF is US\$103,055. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	8,960	(*)	(*)
Training	33,050	(*)	(*)
Travel and per diems	57,420	(*)	(*)
Other	3,625	(*)	(*)
<b>Total</b>	<b>103,055</b>	<b>101,890</b>	<b>204,945</b>

(\*) No breakdown was provided in the proposal

94. In the light of the information provided, the Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

95. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 11 - *Study on the Preparation of Water and Wastewater for Private Sector Participation (PSP) Project***

96. The proposal was submitted by the Programme Management Unit (PMU) and endorsed by the Water Authority of Jordan. Other participating countries will be Egypt and Palestine.

97. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to provide training to personnel from the three countries on the preparation of the water and wastewater sector for private sector participation..

98. The total cost of the project to be carried out in a six-week period is estimated at US\$104,000, the totality of which is the contribution sought from PGTF.

99. The Committee noted that the project sponsors have not included inputs from sources other than PGTF. Therefore, this proposal does not comply with guideline A(m) for utilization of PGTF, which states that all project proposals submitted to PGTF should include inputs from other sources of an amount at least equal to the resources requested from PGTF.

100. The Committee therefore recommended that this project proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.



**Project Proposal No. 12 - *Reviving Low Cost Mud Adobe House for Poor Urban and Rural Communities***

101. The proposal was submitted by the Royal Scientific Society, headquartered in Amman, and endorsed by the Ministry of Planning of Jordan. Other participating countries would be Egypt and Nigeria.

102. According to the text of the proposal, the project aims at providing low-cost rural and urban housing through the development and revival of adobe as a building material with a suitable building technique. The objectives of the project are: (a) to provide a low-cost housing system, with internal comfort and aesthetically pleasing; (b) to determine the economic and technical feasibility of the use of adobe in housing; (c) to build technical experience in participating countries; and (d) to encourage direct adoption of this technique by low-income families in Nigeria, Egypt and Jordan through the provision of a live example rather than through third parties initiatives.

103. Total cost of the project to be carried out in a three-year period is estimated at US\$204,000. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$100,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

	Total contribution (US\$)		
Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	36,000	48,000	84,000
Travel	12,000	3,000	15,000
Equipment	35,000	36,000	71,000
Building of prototype mud house	12,000	10,000	22,000
Other	5,000	7,000	12,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>204,000</b>

104. As required by guideline A(d) for utilization of PGTF, proposals No. 1 and 12 were considered jointly. The Committee considered that both proposals in general complied with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that proposal No. 12 avail from the financing of PGTF.

105. The Committee recommended to allocate US\$40,000 to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in two tranches, disbursement of the second tranche being subject to the timely presentation of the relevant progress and financial reports.

**Project Proposal No. 13 - Rural Areas Networking in Third World Countries**

106. This project proposal was submitted by the Jordan University of Science and Technology, headquartered in Irbid, Jordan. Other participating countries would be Syria and Yemen.

107. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to develop algorithms that will make use of already existing technologies and proposed ones to reduce the cost associated with networking, enabling the acceleration of tasks such as rural areas analysis, planning, operations scheduling and quality control.

108. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$220,000. The contribution sought from PGTF is US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Total contribution (US\$)			
Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	(*)	(*)	37,500
Travel	(*)	(*)	30,000
Equipment	(*)	(*)	140,000
Other	(*)	(*)	12,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>116,000</b>	<b>220,000</b>

(\*) No breakdown was provided in the proposal

Total contribution (US\$)			
Item	Year 1	Year 2	Total
Personnel	21,000	16,500	37,500
Travel	12,500	17,500	30,000
Equipment	140,000	-	140,000
Other	5,500	7,000	12,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>179,000</b>	<b>41,000</b>	<b>220,000</b>

109. In the light of the information provided, the Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF. Moreover, according to A(h),

dissemination of results to interested countries cannot by itself be considered as a rationale for the cooperative character and hence the eligibility of the project.

110. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 14 - *Training of Irrigation Designers for Undertaking the Work of Developing the Water Resources in Developing Countries***

111. The proposal was submitted by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Korea. Other participating countries would be Guinea, Senegal and Mali.

112. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to provide training to irrigation designers from Guinea, Senegal and Mali in preparing master plans and designing by themselves water resources development and irrigation systems for preventing drought damages.

113. Total cost of the project, to be carried out in a one-year period is estimated at US\$230,000, the contribution sought from PGTF is US\$80,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	31,700	148,600	180,300
Travel	26,500	-	26,500
Workshop	11,700	-	11,700
Documents and other	10,100	1,400	11,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>80,000</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>230,000</b>

114. The Committee considered that this proposal complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF, and is therefore eligible for financing. The Committee recommended that this proposal avail from the financing of PGTF and that US\$40,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project proposal No. 15 - *Productive Municipalities for Health and Food Security***

115. This proposal was submitted by the Government of Cuba. Other participating countries would be Bolivia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Honduras, Nicaragua and Venezuela.

116. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to develop and disseminate methods for producing, conserving and processing high-protein and micronutrient

food using best production practices; (b) to promote the strengthening of a food security monitoring and evaluation system at the local level; and (c) to enhance food and nutrition knowledge of the population, that would enable improvement of food production and consumption in keeping with each country patterns, as well introduction of new food items.

117. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$250,000, the totality is the contribution requested from PGTF.

118. The Committee noted that the project sponsors have not included inputs from sources other than PGTF. Therefore, this proposal does not comply with guideline A(m) for utilization of PGTF, which states that all project proposals submitted to PGTF should include inputs from other sources of an amount at least equal to the resources requested from PGTF. Moreover, the Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

119. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 16 - *Increase in Sugar Production by Microbiological Inhibition of *Leuconostoc spp.* and other bacteria***

120. This proposal was submitted by the Cuban Research Institute on Sugar Cane By-products (ICIDCA), headquartered in Havana, and endorsed by the Ministry of Foreign Investment and Economic Collaboration of Cuba. Other participating countries would be Argentina and Mexico.

121. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to improve knowledge of the microbiological control methods for microbial inhibition of sugar cane losses in the sugar production process. As immediate objective it is sought to (a) design a bio-technical outline of microorganisms interaction in order to increase sugar recovery at the sugar mills, and (b) quantify the reduction in the sucrose consumption and the formation of polysaccharides in the sugar production process.

122. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$206,000, the contribution sought from PGTF being US\$104,000. A breakdown of the contribution requested from PGTF is as follows:

<b>PGTF contribution (US\$)</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Year 1</b>	<b>Year 2</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Personnel</b>	<b>18,000</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>30,000</b>
<b>Travel</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>
<b>Equipment</b>	<b>35,000</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>50,000</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>14,000</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>69,000</b>	<b>35,000</b>	<b>104,000</b>

123. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and is therefore eligible. The Committee recommended that US\$25,000 be allocated to this project and disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project Proposal No. 17 - *Institutional Strengthening for Poverty Reduction and Equity Enhancement***

124. The proposal was submitted by the Government of Cuba. Other participating countries would be Belize and Guatemala.

125. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to seek poverty reduction through integrated local development programmes, in keeping with national policies and available cooperation resources. In this context, the project aims at: (a) identifying governmental measures in favor of vulnerable social groups that would contribute to establish and consolidate basic social services; (b) facilitating access of institutions engaged in social programmes to modern training and information resources; (c) supporting local institutions so as to increase community participation in the design, implementation and evaluation of social programmes; and (d) preparing recommendations for a poverty reduction plan, as well as for the development of higher levels of equity

126. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over an eighteen-month period, is estimated at US\$214,000. The contribution requested from PGTF amounts to US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

**Total contribution (US\$)**

<b>Item</b>	<b>PGTF</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Personnel</b>	<b>35,000</b>	<b>73,000</b>	<b>108,000</b>
<b>Socio-economic studies</b>	<b>11,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>11,000</b>
<b>Training</b>	<b>39,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>39,000</b>
<b>Equipment</b>	<b>24,000</b>	<b>25,000</b>	<b>49,000</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>12,000</b>	<b>17,000</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>110,000</b>	<b>214,000</b>

127. The Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

128. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project Proposal No. 18 - *Diagnosis of the pesticides-derived pollution in potato crops in Bolivia, Peru and Ecuador***

129. The proposal was submitted by the Private University of Bolivia (Universidad Privada de Bolivia), headquartered in Cochabamba, and endorsed by the Ministry of Sustainable Development and Planning of Bolivia. Other participating countries would be Ecuador and Peru.

130. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to determine the status of pesticides-derived pollution on potato crops in Bolivia, Peru and Ecuador. This information would be an input for decision-making in the implementation of a regional plan for monitoring the toxicological quality of potatoes.

131. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a one-year period is estimated at US\$692,594; this total includes activities to be carried out in Bolivia and Peru (US\$165,990) and in Ecuador (US\$526,604). Contribution requested from PGTF amounts to US\$131,434, this total includes US\$80,430 for Bolivia and Peru based activities and US\$51,004 for Ecuador based activities. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

<b>Total contribution (US\$)</b>			
<b>Item</b>	<b>Bolivia &amp; Peru</b>	<b>Ecuador</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Personnel</b>	<b>26,700</b>	<b>27,600</b>	<b>54,300</b>
<b>Training</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>16,000</b>
<b>Travel</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>4,000</b>
<b>Equipment</b>	<b>93,600</b>	<b>473,000</b>	<b>566,600</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>41,690</b>	<b>10,004</b>	<b>51,694</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>165,990</b>	<b>526,604</b>	<b>692,594</b>

132. The text of the proposal contains separate figures for activities carried out in Bolivia and Peru, on the one hand and in Ecuador, on the other. A breakdown is as follows:

<b>Item</b>	<b>Bolivia &amp; Peru</b>			<b>Ecuador</b>		
	<b>PGTF</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>PGTF</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Personnel</b>	<b>21,300</b>	<b>5,400</b>	<b>26,700</b>	<b>15,000</b>	<b>12,600</b>	<b>27,600</b>
<b>Training</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>16,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>16,000</b>
<b>Travel</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>4,000</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Equipment</b>	<b>18,000</b>	<b>75,600</b>	<b>93,600</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>463,000</b>	<b>473,000</b>
<b>Other</b>	<b>37,130</b>	<b>4,560</b>	<b>41,690</b>	<b>10,004</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>10,004</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>80,430</b>	<b>85,560</b>	<b>165,990</b>	<b>51,004</b>	<b>475,600</b>	<b>526,604</b>

133. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF. However, in the light of resources available the Committee considered that it was not possible to make a meaningful allocation to this project and hence recommended that it should not avail from the financing of PGTF at this time.

**Project proposal No. 19 - *Strengthening South-South Africa/Asia/Latin America and the Caribbean Cooperation for economic and social integration***

134. This proposal was submitted by the Permanent Secretariat of the Latin American Economic System (SELA), headquartered in Caracas, Venezuela. The proposal is supported by ten developing countries from Africa and Latin America and the Caribbean.

135. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the projects are: (a) to develop activities for exchanging experiences on international cooperation mechanisms and strategies; (b) to develop training activities for the directors of international cooperation at the sectoral level (i.e. health, education); (c) to contribute to the development of cooperation organizations so as to enable participating countries to take advantage of business opportunities; (d) to promote entrepreneurial meetings; and (e) to carry activities out aimed at identifying opportunities of technical and economic cooperation among developing countries.

136. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$199,000, the contribution requested from PGTF being US\$87,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	12,000	32,000	44,000
Training	60,000	60,000	120,000
Travel	15,000	8,000	23,000
Equipment	-	6,000	6,000
Other	-	6,000	6,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>87,000</b>	<b>114,000</b>	<b>199,000</b>

137. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$40,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in two tranches, disbursement of each tranche being subject to the timely presentation of the relevant progress and financial reports.

**Project proposal No. 20 - *Regional Technical Cooperation Programme: Support to Economic Research in MERCOSUR***

138. This proposal was submitted by the Mercosur Economic Research Network, and endorsed by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Argentina, acting as pro-tempore Presiding member country of Mercosur. Other participating countries would be the other Mercosur member countries: Brazil, Paraguay and Uruguay.

139. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to reduce the gap between the technical knowledge, both available and under generation by economic research institutions in the region, and decision-makers needs regarding consolidation and progress of regional integration; (b) to define orientation and priorities of the MercoNet research agencies, so



as to reflect needs of governments and the civil society; (c) to disseminate the results of research activities among relevant constituencies; (d) to promote a new vision of regional integration, where the interest of the grouping would prevail over individual interests of member countries; and (e) to initiate exchanges of experiences on regional integration with relevant counterparts from other developing regions, namely South Africa and Central America.

140. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a one-year period is estimated at US\$502,000, the contribution requested from PGTF being US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	61,000	31,000	92,000
Meetings logistics	-	10,000	10,000
Travel	36,000	-	36,000
Research inputs	-	339,000	339,000
Other	7,000	18,000	25,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>398,000</b>	<b>502,000</b>

141. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$25,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

*Project proposal No. 21 - Territorial Imbalances and University Education: Impact of Territorial Imbalances on University Students Performance*

142. This proposal was submitted by National University at La Plata (Universidad Nacional de la Plata), headquartered at La Plata, and endorsed by the Ministry of Coordination and International Cooperation of Argentina. Other participating countries would be Brazil and Peru.

143. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to develop a common methodology for analyzing the performance drivers of university students from various regions of participating countries; (b) to analyze these performance drivers; (c) to utilize results of the performance drivers analysis to determine whether these factors are common to all regions or region-specific; and (d) to make recommendations on university policies to decision-makers in governments and universities of participating countries.

144. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a nine-month period is estimated at US\$164,400, the contribution requested from PGTF being US\$82,200. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows

Total contribution (US\$)			
Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	70,200	34,200	104,400
Training	3,000	-	3,000
Travel	6,000	-	6,000
Equipment	-	45,000	45,000
Other	3,000	3,000	6,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>82,200</b>	<b>82,200</b>	<b>164,400</b>

145. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$20,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project proposal No. 22 - *Strengthening of Strategies for Reducing Drug Consumption Demand***

146. This proposal was submitted by the Government of Colombia. Other participating countries would be Ecuador and Venezuela.

147. According to the text of the proposal, the overall objective of the project is to optimize the effectiveness of intervention in the prevention of drug consumption, by strengthening a research system that would allow identification of consumption-related factors, development of education programmes on integral prevention, develop evaluation programmes for the process, and update the conceptual framework of integral prevention.

148. Total cost of the project, is estimated at US\$76,700, the totality of which is the contribution requested from PGTF. There is no indication of the duration of the project in the proposal.

149. The Committee noted that the project sponsors have not included inputs from sources other than PGTF. Therefore, this proposal does not comply with guideline A(m) for utilization of PGTF, which states that all project proposals submitted to PGTF should include inputs from other sources of an amount at least equal to the resources requested from PGTF. Moreover, the Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project,

since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

150. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project proposal No. 23 - Use of Microbial Preparations as Activators of Ruminant Microbial Populations of Ruminants Fed on Tropical Forages to Enhance Animal Production**

151. This proposal was submitted by the Colombian Corporation for Agricultural and Livestock Research (Corporacion Colombiana de Investigacion Agropecuaria - CORPOICA), headquartered in Bogota, and endorsed by the Colombian Agency for International Cooperation (ACCI). Other participating countries would be Cuba, Mexico and Venezuela.

152. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to develop and evaluate innovative microbial products formulated with viable microorganisms as a technological strategy to manipulate rumen fermentation and improve feed efficiency in grazing cattle in tropical conditions.

153. Total cost of the project to be carried out over a three-year period is estimated at US\$611,100 (US\$203,700 per year), the contribution requested from PGTF being US\$312,000 (US\$104,000 per year). A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)							
	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Total	
	PGTF	Other	PGTF	Other	PGTF	Other	PGTF	Other
Personnel	43,800	49,950	43,800	49,950	43,800	49,950	131,400	149,850
Training	4,000	-	3,500	-	1,500	-	9,000	-
Travel	7,000	-	10,800	-	12,000	-	29,800	-
Equipment	14,300	46,250	1,000	46,250	-	46,250	15,300	138,750
Other	34,900	3,500	44,900	3,500	46,700	3,500	126,500	10,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>99,700</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>99,700</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>99,700</b>	<b>312,000</b>	<b>299,100</b>

154. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF. However, in the light of resources available the Committee considered that the amount requested exceeded significantly PGTF possibilities and therefore it was not possible to make a meaningful allocation to this project. The Committee hence recommended that it should not avail from the financing of PGTF at this time.

**Project proposal No. 24 - *Indicators system of information and communication technologies for Statistics Conference of the America (SCA) member countries***

155. This proposal was submitted by National Institute of Statistics and Informatics (INEI), headquartered in Lima, Peru. Other participating countries would be Brazil and Mexico. Beneficiaries would be the SCA member countries.

156. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to identify and design indicators and statistics on information and communication technologies, so as to provide SCA member countries with conceptual and methodological frameworks, that would enable them to measure the development level of these technologies and their socio-economic impact on these countries and region. .

157. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a one-year period is estimated at US\$325,250. The amount requested from PGTF is US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Total contribution (US\$)			
Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	53,600	221,250	274,850
Travel	43,130	-	43,130
Other	7,270	-	7,270
Total	104,000	221,250	325,250

158. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$25,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project proposal No. 25 - *Cooperation in Competitiveness promotion and Andean Fair Competition System***

159. This proposal was submitted by the Commission for Export Promotion (PROMPEX), headquartered in Lima, Peru. Other participating countries would be Colombia and Ecuador.

160. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to promote a favorable context for improving the competitiveness of small and medium enterprises; (b) to identify and implement fair competition practices; (c) to disseminate cooperation experiences on national competitiveness and fair competition in the cattle-leather-footwear and manufactures production chain

161. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$119,200. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$63,200. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	(*)	(*)	52,000
Training	(*)	(*)	12,400
Travel	(*)	(*)	27,800
Equipment	(*)	(*)	3,500
Other	(*)	(*)	23,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>63,200</b>	<b>56,000</b>	<b>119,200</b>

(\*) No breakdown could be prepared with information provided in the proposal

162. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$20,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project proposal No. 26 - *Design of a System of Management of Used Pesticide Containers***

163. This proposal was submitted by the National Service for Agrarian Health (Servicio Nacional de Seguridad Agraria – SENASA), headquartered in Lima, Peru. Other participating countries would be Bolivia and Ecuador.

164. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to reduce damages caused by inadequate disposal of pesticide containers; (b) to provide and alternative to incineration or dumping of empty pesticide containers, and (c) to conserve a non-renewable resource that can be recycled into many other useful applications.

165. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a one-year period is estimated at US\$70,620. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$35,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Item	Total contribution (US\$)		
	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	(*)	(*)	15,000
Equipment	(*)	(*)	8,000
	(*)	(*)	47,620

**Total contribution (US\$)**

Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Other			
<b>Total</b>	<b>35,000</b>	<b>35,620</b>	<b>70,620</b>

(\* ) No breakdown could be prepared with information provided in the proposal

166. The Committee noted that the proposing institution, SENASA, has submitted a second proposal (proposals Nos. 3 and 26) for consideration by the Committee of Experts. According to guideline A(d) for utilization of PGTF, proposing organizations and institutions may submit no more than one project proposal per annum for funding from PGTF. Therefore, the Committee considered both proposals jointly.

167. The Committee considered that both proposals, namely No. 3 and No. 26, in general comply with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF. Following consultations with the project sponsors, project proposal No. 3 bears a higher priority than No. 26.

168. The Committee therefore recommended that proposal No. 26 should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project proposal No. 27 - *Promotion of Legal Trading and Forestry Products in the Peru-Brazil-Bolivia Border***

169. This proposal was submitted by the National Institute for Natural Resources (Instituto Nacional de Recursos Naturales - INRENA), headquartered in Lima, Peru. Other participating countries would be Bolivia and Brazil.

170. According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to reduce illegal traffic of forestry products in the Peru-Brazil-Bolivia border, and (b) to sensitize population in the border areas on forestry control actions.

171. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a two-year period is estimated at US\$208,000. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

**Total contribution (US\$)**

Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	(*)	(*)	45,000
Training	(*)	(*)	45,000

## Total contribution (US\$)

Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Travel	(*)	(*)	12,000
Equipment	(*)	(*)	70,000
Other	(*)	(*)	36,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>104,000</b>	<b>208,000</b>

(\*) No breakdown could be prepared with information provided in the proposal

172. The Committee considered that it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

173. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project proposal No. 28 - *Establishment of an ECOWAS Center/Institute for Economic, Social and Technological Data in Ghana***

174. This proposal was submitted by the Government of Ghana.

175. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to establish a sustainable and world-class center in Ghana for information dissemination on ECOWAS and Africa as a whole. The center would provide information on business opportunities, legal framework of member countries and other relevant information.

176. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a three-year period is estimated at more than US\$1 million. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$104,000. No breakdown of the total cost was provided in the proposal.

177. The Committee considered that the information provided in the proposal is incomplete, rendering a thorough analysis very difficult. In particular, it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

178. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project proposal No. 29 - *Macro-economic Cooperation and Regional Statistical Support under the Multilateral Surveillance Mechanism***

**179.** This proposal was submitted by the Government of Ghana.

**180.** According to the text of the proposal, the objectives of the project are: (a) to formulate a regional macro-economic framework in ECOWAS, and (b) to establish a multilateral surveillance mechanism. In this context, a study of the organization of multilateral surveillance in ECOWAS will be conducted, as well as an analysis of the legal, accounting and statistical framework of public finance in ECOWAS member countries.

**181.** Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a three-year period is estimated at more than US\$1 million. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$104,000. No breakdown of the total cost was provided in the proposal.

**182.** The Committee considered that the information provided in the proposal is incomplete, rendering a thorough analysis very difficult. In particular, it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF. Moreover, the same sponsor submitted two proposals (namely Nos. 28 and 29) and only one could be considered for support by the Committee.

**183.** The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project proposal No. 30 - *South-South Cooperation and Reshaping Globalization for Sustainable Development***

**184.** This proposal was submitted by the International Youth and Student Movement for the United Nations (ISMUN), headquartered in Geneva.

**185.** According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to conduct an international youth and student seminar and training programme on “South-South cooperation and reshaping globalization for sustainable development”. The purpose of the programme is to engage youth organizations of the South in the systematic follow-up of the Monterrey Conference on Financing for Development and the World Summit on Sustainable Development.

**186.** Total cost of the project is estimated at US\$120,000. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$45,000. No information was provided on the duration of the project or on a breakdown of the total cost.



187. The Committee considered that the information provided in the proposal is incomplete. In particular, it was not possible to ascertain the cooperative element of the project, since there is no written evidence of endorsement of or declared interest in this proposal by other countries as potential beneficiaries, as required by guideline A(f) for utilization of PGTF.

188. The Committee therefore recommended that this proposal should not avail from the financing of PGTF.

**Project proposal No. 31 - *Negotiating Trade in Services: Implication for the Andean Community***

189. This proposal was submitted by the Latin American Faculty of Social Sciences (FLACSO), headquartered in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Participating countries would be Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela. The Secretariat General of the Andean Community would execute the project through a subcontract agreement with FLACSO.

190. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to better prepare Andean countries negotiating agents in presenting and defending their interests in multifaceted and intricate trade agendas. To this end, negotiators and policy agents will be provided with an in-depth analysis of issues of specific concern to Andean countries, and communication mechanisms among the relevant constituencies will be strengthened.

191. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a twelve-month period, is estimated at US\$113,000. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$42,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

	Total contribution (US\$)		
Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	30,000	(*)	(*)
Travel	4,800	(*)	(*)
Other	7,200	(*)	(*)
Total	42,000	71,000	113,000

(\*) No breakdown could be prepared with information provided in the proposal

192. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$20,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**Project proposal No. 32 - *Capacity-building in Bio-Safety for the Caribbean***

193. This proposal was submitted by the Caribbean Council for Science and Technology (CCST), headquartered in St. Clair, Trinidad and Tobago. Other participating countries would be Antigua and Barbuda, Barbados, Guyana, Jamaica and St. Lucia.

194. According to the text of the proposal, the objective of the project is to develop some of the necessary capacities for the safe transfer, handling, use and identification of genetically modified organisms that may have adverse effects on the biological diversity, environment, including human health, as part of implementing articles 16 and 19 of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Cartagena Bio-safety Protocol.

195. Total cost of the project, to be carried out over a one-year period is estimated at US\$221,620. The contribution requested from PGTF is US\$104,000. A breakdown of the total cost is as follows:

Total contribution (US\$)			
Item	PGTF	Other	Total
Personnel	30,000	(*)	(*)
Training	56,000	(*)	(*)
Travel	18,000	(*)	(*)
Total	104,000	117,620	221,620

(\*) No breakdown could be prepared with information provided in the proposal

196. The Committee considered that this proposal in general complies with the guidelines for utilization of PGTF and recommended that US\$25,000 be allocated to this end. This contribution should be disbursed in a single tranche.

**SUMMARY OF PROJECT PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION**

197. In summary, the Committee recommended that the following fifteen (15) new project proposals plus a budget increase for an existing project avail from the financing of PGTF and resources allocated to this end amount to US\$510,000. A breakdown of this total is as follows:

<i>Project</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Allocation (US\$)</i>
(1)	<i>Preventive (in Peru) and Control Programme (in Bolivia and Brazil) against anthonomus grandis boheman beaked Mexican of the cotton crop</i>	25,000
(2)	<i>Development of water Resources in the Badia Region (Jordan).....</i>	70,000
(3)	<i>Training, Consultation and Awareness Building for Small Hydro Power in Developing Countries...</i>	40,000
(4)	<i>Caribbean Postgraduate Center.....</i>	25,000
(5)	<i>Support to the Coordinating Secretariat of the Zambia-Malawi-Mozambique Growth Triangle (ZMM-GT) for the Implementation of the ZMM-GT.....</i>	70,000
(6)	<i>Reviving Low Cost Mud Adobe House for Poor Urban and Rural Communities.....</i>	40,000
(7)	<i>Training of Irrigation Designers for Undertaking the Work of Developing the Water Resources in Developing Countries.....</i>	40,000
(8)	<i>Increase in Sugar Production by Microbiological Inhibition of Leuconostoc spp. And other bacteria.....</i>	25,000
(9)	<i>Strengthening South-South Africa/Asia/Latin America and the Caribbean Cooperation for economic and social integration.....</i>	40,000
(10)	<i>Regional Technical Cooperation Programme: Support to Economic Research in MERCOSUR.....</i>	25,000
(11)	<i>Territorial Imbalances and University Education: Impact of Territorial Imbalances on University Students Performance.....</i>	20,000
(12)	<i>Indicators system of information and communication technologies for Statistics Conference of the America (SCA) member countries.....</i>	25,000
(13)	<i>Cooperation in Competitiveness Promotion and Andean Fair Competition System.....</i>	20,000
(14)	<i>Negotiating Trade in Services: Implication for the Andean Community.....</i>	20,000
(15)	<i>Capacity-building in Bio-Safety for the Caribbean.....</i>	25,000
	<i>Total</i>	<i>510,000</i>

198. According to the information provided by UNDP, total available resources for funding new project proposals in 2002 amount to approximately US\$510,000. This amount includes the interest expected to be earned from 1 January to 31 December 2002. A detailed breakdown of the financial status of PGTF is provided in Annex III.

199. The Committee would like to note that for 2002 the amounts requested by eligible projects significantly exceeded available resources in a ratio of almost 3:1. This resulted from an unusual combination of the limited availability of resources stemming from the significant decline in interest earnings and a large flow of well-prepared project proposals that deserved to

be supported. In this context, the Committee was compelled to carry out a difficult prioritization exercise in order to allocate the scarce available resources to eligible projects.

200. Taking into account the provisions contained in the guidelines for utilization of PGTF, several criteria were applied in allocating scarce resources with a view to achieving both fairness and effectiveness: (a) fairness in terms of ensuring support to projects having the largest impact or the largest number of beneficiaries, bearing in mind the need for equitable geographical distribution of PGTF resources; and (b) effectiveness in terms of providing support that would be meaningful vis-à-vis the requirements of the projects.

201. Projects recommended for adoption include four (4) interregional projects and eleven (11) regional and subregional projects. In light of the above exercise, interregional projects were given the highest priority because of their wider country impact. This priority was reflected in terms of the higher ratio of resources allocated. In addition, all four interregional projects benefit countries from the African region, and three of those projects benefit countries from the Asian region. The resources allocated through these four interregional projects somewhat compensate for the modest number of subregional projects from these two regions that were given support as a result of the very limited number of eligible proposals submitted. Finally, equitable geographical distribution was addressed by giving a higher ratio of resources to eligible subregional projects from Africa and Asia.

202. It should be noted that only for the second time in the fifteen years of operation of PGTF, the Committee has recommended that two eligible project proposals, namely proposals Nos. 18 and 23, not be given any resources at this time because the amounts requested exceeded significantly what could be reasonably allocated to these projects, and therefore it was not possible to provide a meaningful support. In this regard, the Committee recommended that the allocation of resources should be examined at the next session of the Committee, in the light of the availability of resources and with due regard to equitable geographical distribution.

203. In summary, the Committee considered that the proposed allocation of resources to eligible projects addresses both equitable geographical distribution and effectiveness in project implementation. The Committee would like to encourage governments and regional institutions to continue submitting proposals to the PGTF as has been the case in the recent past.

#### IV. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROVED PROJECTS

204. The Committee examined the status of implementation of the projects adopted by IFCC-VI held in Havana in September 1987, by the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the Ministers for Foreign Affairs of the Group of 77 held in New York in September 1988, by IFCC-VII held in Kuala Lumpur in July-August 1989, by the Thirteenth, Fourteenth, Fifteenth and Sixteenth Annual Meetings of Ministers for Foreign Affairs of the Group of 77 held respectively in New

York in September 1989, October 1990, September 1991 and October 1992, by IFCC-VII held in Panama City in August-September 1993 and by the Eighteenth, Nineteenth, Twentieth, Twenty-First, Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth and Twenty-fifth Annual Meetings of Ministers for Foreign Affairs, held in New York respectively in September 1994, September 1995, September 1996, September 1997, September 1998, September 1999, September 2000, and November 2001.

205. The following eleven (11) progress, terminal and financial reports on projects under implementation were made available to the Committee of Experts:

- (1) *Final report submitted by the Research and Information System for the Non-Aligned and other Developing Countries for project INT/97/K01 - "Study of the impact of enhancing environmental standards on international trade of South Asian countries"*
- (2) *Final report submitted by the Government of Colombia for project INT/98/K17 - "Design of a regional project to strengthen strategies to reduce drug demand in Colombia, Ecuador and Venezuela"*
- (3) *Final Report submitted by the Non-Aligned Movement Center for South-South Technical Cooperation (NAM-CSSTC) for project INT/99/K01 - "International Youth Leadership Training for the Promotion of South-South Cooperation"*
- (4) *Final report submitted by the Latin American Integration Association (ALADI) for the project INT/99/K03 - "Transportation Information Systems for ALADI Member Countries"*
- (5) *Final report submitted by the Instituto de Investigaciones en Fruticultura Tropical from Cuba for project INT/99/K10 - "Citrus Certification Programmes in Central America and the Caribbean"*
- (6) *Final report submitted by the Mercosur Network of Economic Research for project INT/00/K01 - "Programme for Regional Technical Cooperation on Integration Issues"*
- (7) *Final report submitted by the Government of D.P.R. of Korea for project INT/00/K04 - "Training of Irrigation and Drainage Design Experts in order to improve the development of water resources in Developing Countries"*
- (8) *Final report submitted by the Government of D.P.R. of Korea for project INT/00/K05 - "Development and Application of Biological Techniques in Agriculture"*
- (9) *Progress Report and financial statement submitted by the Center for Science and Technology of the Non-Aligned and other Developing Countries for project INT/94/K06 - "Low-cost housing technology programme"*
- (10) *Progress Report and Financial statement submitted by the Center for Science and Technology of the Non-Aligned and other Developing Countries for project INT/97/K02 - "Bio-control of pests and weeds for sustainable agriculture and bio-diversity"*
- (11) *Progress report submitted by the South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission (SOPAC) for project INT/99/K05 - "Regional biomass assessment"*

**206.** According to the status of their implementation, approved projects can be grouped into several categories:

- (a) Projects completed;**
- (b) Projects under implementation;**
- (c) Projects under preparation still to be implemented;**
- (d) Projects not implemented and allocated funds reverted to PGTF;**
- (e) Projects under query.**

**207.** Completed and non-implemented projects could be considered as inactive and their main features are included as reference in Annex II.

#### **A. PROJECTS UNDER IMPLEMENTATION**

**208.** Thirty-seven (37) approved projects were being implemented as of the date of the Seventeenth Meeting of the Committee of Experts. This total is the result of thirty-seven (37) projects that were under implementation during the period between the sixteenth and the seventeenth meetings of the Committee, plus eight (8) that started being implemented during this period, and minus eight (8) projects that were completed. Detailed information on the status of these projects is provided below:

##### **(1) *Low Cost Housing Technology Programme***

- 1. Submitter: Government of India**  
**Subcontractor: Centre for Science & Technology of the Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries**  
**PGTF input: US\$30,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$34,000**  
**Duration: 1 year**  
**Approval: Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1994)**  
**Number: INT/94/K06/A/95/99**  
**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed on behalf of the Group of 77 and UNDP on 31 July 1995. A sub-contract agreement was signed with the sub-contractator on 26 September 1995.**  
**Status of submission of financial reports:**

**209.** The UNDP Field Office in New Delhi made the initial disbursement to the sub-contractor. On 18 February 1997 the sub-contractor submitted a progress report and on 4 June 1997 submitted a statement of expenditure.

210. On 17 January 2000 and 21 May 2001, the sub-contractor refunded interest earned on unspent PGTF funds held by the Centre. A progress report for 2001 was received in February 2002.

(2) *ECDC/TCDC Information Support to Group of 77 Chapters (Phase III) - Journal of the Group of 77*

211. **Submitter: Inter Press Service (IPS) Third World News Agency through the Government of Costa Rica as Chairman of the Group of 77 for 1996**

**Subcontractor: Same as above**

**PGTF input: US\$51,120**

**Other inputs: US\$45,600**

**Number: INT/95/K03/A/95/99**

**Duration: Two (2) years**

**Approval: Nineteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 29 September 1995)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed on 15 April 1996 by the Chairman of the Group of 77 also on behalf of the Government of Costa Rica and on 22 April 1996 by UNDP. The sub-contract agreement concluded between the Government of Costa Rica as Chairman of the Group of 77 for 1996 and Inter Press Service is dated 19 August 1996.**

**Status of submission of financial report: The sub-contractor has submitted a financial statement.**

212. Disbursement of the funds allocated for the first year of the project (1996) was requested from UNDP in September 1996 as follows: \$20,760 to IPS and \$4,800 to the Group of 77.

213. A financial statement on project activities undertaken during 1996 was submitted by the subcontractor on 25 June 1997 and by the Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77 on 5 June 1998.

214. The project provided for publication of 24 issues in a two-year period, starting in 1996. The actual schedule of issues published was: 9 in 1996, 5 in 1997, 5 in 1998, 3 in 1999, 2 in 2000 and 1 in 2001.

215. In mid-January 2001 the UNDP authorized disbursement of the second tranche of US\$25,560. This total includes US\$20,760 to IPS and \$4,800 to the Group of 77. A financial statement was submitted to UNDP by the Office of the Chairman on 28 December 2001.

216. The Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001) approved a budget increase of US\$24,000.

- (3) *Caribbean Regional Technical Support Programme to Promote the Equalization of Training and Employment Opportunities for Persons with Disabilities*
216. **Submitter:** National Centre for Persons with Disabilities (NCPD) of Trinidad and Tobago, through the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Trinidad and Tobago.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$90,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$90,000  
**Number:** INT/96/K02/A/95/99  
**Duration:** Two (2) years  
**Approval:** Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 27 September 1996)  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed on behalf of the Government of Trinidad and Tobago on 31 January 1997 and by UNDP on 21 February 1997. The Sub-contract agreement was signed in March 1997 by the Ministry of Social Development of the Government of Trinidad and Tobago and the National Centre for Persons with Disabilities.  
**Status of submission of financial report:** The sub-contractor has submitted a financial statement.
217. On 9 April 1997, the Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP requested the UNDP Resident Representative in Trinidad and Tobago to make an initial disbursement of US\$45,000.
218. A copy of a progress report covering the period April-October 1997 was received by the Office of the Chairman on 19 January 1998 together with a statement of expenditure and a request for the disbursement of the second tranche of \$45,000.
219. According to UNDP reports, a second tranche of US\$40,500 (i.e., less the token 10% withholding) was disbursed to the subcontractor in 1998.
220. The Committee recommended at its fifteenth meeting that the Office of the Chairman request the sub-contractor to submit the outstanding final report and statement of expenditures for the project before 31 December 2000.
221. A reminder was sent on 10 May 2001 by email requesting the outstanding reports. A second reminder was sent through the UNDP country office in July 2002.
222. The Committee recommended that a final notice be sent to NCPD requesting submission of the outstanding reports before 31 December 2002.



(4) *Program for Cooperation in Training and Development of Technologies to Support Primary Health Care in African Countries with Portuguese Official Language*

223. **Submitter:** Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (FIOCRUZ) through the Government of Brazil.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$59,300  
**Other inputs:** US\$60,000  
**Number:** INT/96/K04/A/95/99  
**Duration:** One (1) year  
**Approval:** Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 27 September 1996)  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed on behalf of the Government of Brazil on 17 June 1997 and by UNDP on 24 June 1997. The sub-contract agreement concluded between the Government of Brazil and the Oswaldo Cruz Foundation was signed on 24 March 1997.  
**Status of submission of financial report:** A copy of the financial statement for the second tranche of funds is being awaited.

224. Disbursement of a first tranche of US\$29,650 was made through the UNDP Resident Representative in Brazil. A progress report was submitted on 9 June 1998.

225. A copy of the financial statement of expenditure for the first tranche was received by the Office of the Chairman on 10 September 1998. Disbursement of the second tranche of US\$26,685 was authorized by UNDP on 12 January 1999.

226. On 20 December 1999, 3 March 2000 and 25 April 2000, the Permanent Mission of Brazil to the United Nations informed the Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77 that the technical seminar on "Management and epidemiological vigilance in public health" within the framework of the project had to be postponed.

227. Three reminders requesting submission of progress and financial reports were sent, the last on 18 May 2001. No replies have been received from the subcontractor.

228. The Committee recommended that a final notice be sent to FIOCRUZ requesting submission of the outstanding reports before 31 December 2002.

(5) *Rural Education and Agricultural Extension Facility for the Nomads of the Sahel and Sahara Regions*

- 229. Submitter: Foundation for Social Peace of Niger, with endorsement of the General Secretariat of the Organization of the Islamic Conference.  
 Subcontractor: Same as above  
 PGTF input: US\$85,000  
 Other inputs: US\$659,340  
 Number: INT/96/K06/A/95/99  
 Duration: One (1) year  
 Approval: Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 27 September 1996)  
 Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Organization of the Islamic Conference on 16 December 1996 and by UNDP on 18 February 1997. The sub-contract agreement concluded between the Organization of the Islamic Conference and the Foundation for Social Peace was signed on 1 March 1997.  
 Status of submission of financial report: The sub-contractor has submitted a financial statement.**
- 230. An initial disbursement of US\$45,000 was made through the UNDP Resident Representative in Niger in May 1997.**
- 231. A financial statement of expenditures for the first tranche was submitted to UNDP by the Organization of the Islamic Conference on 17 June 1997.**
- 232. On 24 June 1997, the Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP requested the UNDP Resident Representative in Niger to make the second tranche of US\$40,000.**
- 233. A copy of a progress report was received by the Office of the Chairman on 9 September 1997.**
- 234. The Committee recommended at its fifteenth meeting that the Office of the Chairman request the sub-contractor to submit the outstanding final report and statement of expenditure before 31 December 2000.**
- 235. The Organization of the Islamic Conference was requested to follow up on the status of the project via fax of 11 May 2001.**
- 236. The Committee recommended that a final notice be sent to the sub-contractor requesting submission of the outstanding reports before 31 December 2002, and a reminder be sent to the General Secretariat of the Organization of the Islamic Conference to follow up on this matter.**
- (6) *A study on the impact of enhancing environmental standards on international trade of South Asian countries***

237. **Submitter: Research and Information System (RIS) for the Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries in India.**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$24,850**  
**Other inputs: US\$24,850**  
**Number: INT/97/K01**  
**Duration: Two (2) years**  
**Approval: Twenty-first Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 26 September 1997)**  
**Date of signature of relevant documents: Project document and subcontract agreement signed and received by UNDP on 2 June 1999.**
238. **In the first half of 2000 the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in India to disburse the first tranche of US\$11,183 to the sub-contractor.**
- 239.1. **Third reminder requesting information on the status of the project was sent on 10 May 2001. The subcontractor has requested an extension of eight months and the disbursement of the balance of funds. On 15 May 2001, the UNDP country office in India requested the work plan in order to seek authorization from the Special Unit for TCDC for the extension.**
240. **The sub-contractor submitted a final report on 14 June 2002 and requested release of the balance of allocated funds (10 percent).**
- (7) *Positioning of a Gender Program in Cooperatives in the Andean Region*
241. **Submitter: International Cooperative Alliance, Office of the Americas in Costa Rica.**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$61,500**  
**Other inputs: US\$85,000**  
**Number: INT/97/K04**  
**Duration: One (1) year**  
**Approval: Twenty-first Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 26 September 1997)**  
**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by Government of Costa Rica on 12 March 1998 and by UNDP on 27 April 1998.**
242. **UNDP reports indicate a disbursement of US\$55,234 for this project in 1998. In 1999 US\$6,265 were disbursed to the sub-contractor.**
243. **A financial report was received on 24 June 1999 and the final budget revision was requested on 21 May 2001.**

244. The UNDP country office advised that the final budget revision would not be forthcoming due to change of government officials.

245. The Committee recommended that the UNDP follow up on this matter including direct contacts with the Government of Costa Rica.

**(8) *Emergency control measures of the Moroccan locust and other insect pests***

246. **Submitter: Iraqi General Body for Agricultural Research**

**Subcontractor: Not required.**

**PGTF input: US\$50,000**

**Other inputs: US\$380,000**

**Number: INT/98/K02**

**Duration: 2 years**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by Government of Iraq on 27 December 1999 and by UNDP on 3 December 1999.**

247. On 26 June 2000 the UNDP authorized the Resident Representative in Baghdad to disburse US\$45,000 (90% of PGTF allocation) to the Ministry of Agriculture of Iraq.

248. Progress and financial reports were received by the UNDP on 24 May 2001 and on 23 July 2002.

**(9) *Programme for women and youth in the Sahel region***

249. **Submitter: Sahel DEFIS, with headquarters in Burkina Faso.**

**Subcontractor: Sahel DEFIS,**

**PGTF input: US\$30,000**

**Other inputs: US\$224,280**

**Number: INT/98/K04**

**Duration: Two years.**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by Government of Benin on 3 March 1999 and by UNDP on 3 May 1999.**

250. On 16 August 1999, the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Burkina Faso to disburse US\$13,500 to the subcontractor. On 10 March 2000, the UNDP authorized disbursement of a second tranche of the same amount, and the remaining 10 percent was

disbursed on 12 September 2000. The final report and financial statement for the project were requested on 22 May 2001.

251. A new request for the final report and financial statement was made by the UNDP on 8 July 2002.

(10) *Building technical competence in the area of conformity assessment in the Latin American region*

252. **Submitter:** National Institute of Metrology, Standardization and Industrial Quality of Brazil through the Permanent Mission of Brazil to the United Nations  
**Subcontractor:** Centro para Inovação e Competitividade (CIC)  
**PGTF input:** US\$50,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$70,000  
**Number:** INT/98/K05  
**Duration:** 2 years  
**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Brazil on 1 June 2000 and by UNDP on 19 May 2000.

253. On 23 June 2000 the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Brazil to disburse US\$45,000 (90% of the PGTF allocation) to IAAC (Inter-American Accreditation Cooperation), the implementing agency.

254. A request for submission of the progress and financial reports was made on 22 May 2001.

255. A reminder requesting submission of the progress report and financial statements was sent through the UNDP country office in July 2002.

(11) *Harmonisation of agricultural policies of the COMESA Member States*

256. **Submitter:** Secretariat of the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa, headquartered in Lusaka, Zambia.  
**Subcontractor:** Not required.  
**PGTF input:** US\$60,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$420,000(estimated)  
**Number:** INT/98/K09  
**Duration:** 1 year  
**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)

Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by COMESA on 16 April 1999 and by UNDP on 31 March 1999.

257. On 10 March 2001 the UNDP country office disbursed the first tranche of US\$27,000 to COMESA. A budget revision report was requested from the country office and a reminder was sent on 17 April 2001.

258. A new request for the progress report and a financial statement was sent to the UNDP country office in Zambia and COMESA in July 2002.

(12) *Technology linkage through sharing and cooperation among developing countries*

259. **Submitter:** Asian Alliance of Appropriate Technology Practitioners (Approtech), headquartered in Manila, Philippines.

**Subcontractor:** Same as above.

**PGTF input:** US\$100,000

**Other inputs:** US\$150,000

**Number:** INT/98/K10

**Duration:** 3 years

**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)

**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of the Philippines on 11 June 1999 and by UNDP on 13 April 2000.

260. The UNDP country office in the Philippines was authorized on 29 August 2000 to disburse US\$90,000 to the subcontractor. However, no progress was made on this project in 2000 no government agency was identified to execute the project.

261. A revised work and financial plan for the project was submitted to the UNDP on 4 December 2000, and following a request from the Government of the Philippines in a letter dated 5 March 2001, Approtech was identified as both the implementing and the executing agency.

262. The UNDP country office disbursed US\$56,000 to the subcontractor on 29 March 2001.

263. A request for a progress report was sent to the sub-contractor in July 2002.

(13) *Subregional intellectual property system*

264. **Submitter:** The Andean Community.

**Subcontractor:** Not required.

**PGTF input:** US\$50,000

**Other inputs:** US\$50,000

**Number: INT/98/K11**

**Duration: 2 years**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Andean Community Secretariat on 16 June 1999 and by UNDP on 13 May 1999.**

**265. On 13 August 1999 the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Peru to disburse the first tranche of US\$22,500 to the Andean Community.**

**266. Two requests for submission of progress reports on the project have been made on 21 September 2000 and 22 March 2001.**

**267. On 19 July 2002 the Secretariat General of the Andean Community provided information on the progress of implementation of the project. The project implementation is behind schedule due to difficulties in the launching phase and in 2001 no PGTF resources were spent on the project. Activities underway are being funded by the Andean Community resources.**

**(14) *Regional remote sensing activities***

**268. Submitter: Southern African Development Community (SADC), with headquarters in Gaborone, Botswana.**

**Subcontractor: Not required.**

**PGTF input: US\$50,000**

**Other inputs: US\$400,000**

**Number: INT/98/K12**

**Duration: 2 years**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by SADC on 27 October 1999 and by UNDP on 3 January 2000.**

**269. On 23 June 2000 the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Botswana to disburse US\$45,000 (90% of the PGTF allocation) to SADC.**

**270. A request for a submission of progress and financial reports was made on 22 March 2001 and a reminder was sent by the UNDP in July 2002.**

**(15) *Establishment of a statistical service unit and access of World Bank live database***

**271. Submitter: Secretariat of the Organization of African Unity, with headquarters in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia**

**Subcontractor: Not required**

**PGTF input: US\$80,000**

**Other inputs: US\$1,206,690**

**Number: INT/98/K14**

**Duration: 3 years**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by OAU/AEC on 31 December 1998 and by UNDP on 19 January 1999.**

272. On 10 July 2000, the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Ethiopia to disburse US\$72,000 (90% of the PGTF allocation) to the OAU secretariat.

273. On 7 June 2001, a request was made for submission of progress report and financial statement and a reminder was sent by the UNDP in July 2002.

(16) *Macro-economic and sectoral cooperation programme*

274. **Submitter: Secretariat of the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) headquartered in Lagos, Nigeria**

**Subcontractor: Not required**

**PGTF input: US\$100,000**

**Other inputs: US\$194,000**

**Number: INT/98/K15**

**Duration: 1 year**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by ECOWAS secretariat on 29 December 1999 and by UNDP on 3 November 1999.**

275. On 26 June 2000, the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Nigeria to disburse the first tranche of US\$50,000 to ECOWAS.

276. On 22 March 2001, a request was made for submission of progress report and financial statement and a reminder was sent by UNDP in July 2002.

(17) *Strengthening of the competitive capacities of micro, small and medium-size enterprises as an employment-generating factor using the technical and economic cooperation mechanism between Latin America and the Caribbean and Africa*

277. **Submitter: Permanent Secretariat of the Latin American Economic System, with headquarters in Caracas, Venezuela**



**Subcontractor: Not required**

**PGTF input: US\$100,000**

**Other inputs: US\$403,000**

**Number: INT/98/K16**

**Duration: 3 years**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the SELA secretariat on 15 November 1998 and by UNDP on 14 January 1999.**

**278. A first tranche of US\$33,000 was disbursed to SELA. On 5 May 2000, the Office of the Chairman received the progress and financial reports regarding the activities funded by the first tranche, as well as the work programme for the second tranche.**

**279. On 8 June 2000, the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Venezuela to disburse the second tranche of US\$57,000 to SELA.**

**280. On 22 March 2001, a request was made for submission of progress report and financial statement.**

**281. A progress report with a financial statement were submitted by SELA in July 2002.**

**(18) *Setting up a common framework for managing shared island fisheries resources in the COMESA region***

**282. Submitter: The Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA)**

**Subcontractor: Not required.**

**PGTF input: US\$38,000**

**Other inputs: US\$120,000**

**Number: INT/99/K04**

**Duration: Two years.**

**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 24 September 1999)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by UNDP on 9 November 2000 and by the Secretary-General of COMESA on 9 March 2001.**

**283. On 5 July 2001, the UNDP authorized the country office in Zambia to disburse US\$34,200 to COMESA.**

**284. A request for a progress report and financial statement was sent to the UNDP country office in Zambia and COMESA in July 2002.**

**(19) *Pacific islands regional biomass energy resource assessment programme (PIRBERAP)***

- 285. Submitter: The South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission (SOPAC)  
Subcontractor: Relevant NGOs.  
PGTF input: US\$34,000  
Other inputs: US\$166,000  
Number: INT/99/K05/A/95/99  
Duration: Two years.  
Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 24 September 1999).  
Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the UNDP on 4 December 2000 and by the Director of SOPAC on 10 January 2001.**
- 286. On 20 February 2001 the UNDP authorized the country office in Fiji to disburse \$US30,600.**
- 287. A progress report was submitted by the sub-contractor in June 2002.**
- (20) *Development of innovative systems for programme management and revenue generation for ASEAN science and technology***
- 288. Submitter: ASEAN Secretariat.  
Subcontractor: Not required.  
PGTF input: US\$34,000  
Other inputs: US\$76,305  
Number: INT/99/K07/A/95/99  
Duration: Six months.  
Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 24 September 1999).  
Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the UNDP on 4 December 2000 and by the Secretary-General of ASEAN on 17 April 2001.**
- 289. On 16 May 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Indonesia to disburse US\$30,600 to ASEAN.**
- 290. A request for a progress report was sent by the UNDP in July 2002.**
- (21) *Promotion of alternative energy resources in African countries parties to the CCD***
- 291. Submitter: Governments of Botswana, Cameroon, Kenya and Niger  
Subcontractor: To be awarded if required.  
PGTF input: US\$25,000  
Other inputs: US\$135,000  
Number: INT/99/K12  
Duration: One year.**

**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Governments of Botswana, Cameroon, Kenya and Niger on 6 June 2000.**

292. On 13 July 2000, the UNDP authorized a disbursement of US\$22,500 to the sub-contractor. Submission of the progress report was requested on 6 April 2001 and a reminder was faxed on 2 May 2001.

293. The Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP and UNCCD should follow up on this matter.

(22) *Support to community pilot project in Algeria, Mali and Niger*

294. **Submitter: Governments of Algeria, Mali and Niger.**

**Subcontractor: NGO "CARI"**

**PGTF input: US\$35,000**

**Other inputs: US\$83,000**

**Number: INT/99/K13**

**Duration: Three years.**

**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Governments of Algeria, Mali and Niger on 23 April 2001 and by UNDP on 13 April 2000.**

295. On 5 July 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Mali to disburse US\$31,500 to the subcontractor.

296. The Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP and UNCCD should follow up on this matter.

(23) *Support to community pilot project in Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger*

**Submitter: Governments of Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger**

**Subcontractor: Association Walte Edjef and NGO Tassaght**

**PGTF input: US\$35,000**

**Other inputs: US\$140,000**

**Number: INT/99/K14**

**Duration: 3 years**

**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**

Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Governments of Burkina Faso, Mali and Niger on 22 May 2000 and by UNDP on 14 April 2000.

297. On 28 June 2000 the UNDP authorized its Resident Representative in Burkina Faso to disburse US\$31,500 (90% of the PGTF contribution) to the project. A request for a progress report was sent on 6 April 2001 and a reminder was faxed on 2 May 2001.

298. The Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP and UNCCD should follow up on this matter.

(24) *EPPP - Electronic Prototype Production Project in Ethiopia*

299. **Submitter:** The National Scientific Equipment Centre (NSEC) of the Ethiopian Science and Technology Commission

**Subcontractor:** NSEC

**PGTF input:** US\$40,000

**Other inputs:** US\$200,000

**Number:** INT/00/K02

**Duration:** Two years.

**Approval:** Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000)

**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Ethiopia on 12 April 2001 and by the UNDP on 1 February 2001.

300. On 15 May 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Ethiopia to disburse US\$36,000 to the sub-contractor.

301. A request for a progress report and financial statement was sent by the UNDP in July 2002.

(25) *Sustainable Management of Agroforest resources in Amazon Border Areas of Brazil, Colombia and Peru*

302. **Submitter:** Peruvian Technical Unit (UTP). National Institute for Development (INADE)

**Subcontractor:** INADE

**PGTF input:** US\$45,000

**Other inputs:** US\$75,000

**Number:** INT/00/K03

**Duration:** One year.

**Approval:** Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000)

**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Peru on 25 May 2001 and by the UNDP on 4 December 2000.

303. On 5 July 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Peru to disburse US\$40,500 to the subcontractor.

304. UNDP requested submission of a progress report in July 2002. In response, the UNDP country office in Peru informed that they are still awaiting signature of the sub-contract agreement with the sub-contractor (INADE), who indicated that they had budget limitations to carry out the activities of the project. At present the UNDP country office had not received indications from INADE on their intention to sign the sub-contract agreement, and has requested INADE to submit a situation report explaining their intention to continue with implementation of the project as agreed in the project document.

(26) *Toward Asia Pacific Renaissance: Recovery, regional cooperation and the public sector*

305. **Submitter:** Asian and Pacific Development Centre (Malaysia)

**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required.

**PGTF input:** US\$25,000

**Other inputs:** US\$105,100 (estimated)

**Number:** INT/00/K06

**Duration:** Six months

**Approval:** Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 September 2000)

**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Malaysia on 6 June 2002 and by the UNDP on 6 May 2002. Sub-contractor is the Asian and Pacific Development Centre.

306. On 5 October 2000 the Chairman of the Group of 77 informed the project sponsors of the approval of the project by the Ministerial Meeting and requested preparation and submission of the project document and subcontracts as appropriate. As of 24 July 2001, the project sponsors have not submitted the requested project document and subcontracts.

307. On 8 July 2002 the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Kuala Lumpur to disburse US\$22,500.

(27) *Transborder Pilot Project in Mali, Burkina Faso and Niger (Azaouak)*

308. **Submitter:** Governments of Mali, Burkina Faso and Niger

**Subcontractor:** NGOs (ABC Ecologie in Niger and "ACAS" in Mali).

**PGTF input:** US\$33,000

**Other inputs:** US\$74,800

**Number:** INT/00/K07

**Duration:** Three years

**Approval:** Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000).  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Executive Secretary of CILSS on behalf of the Governments of Mali, Niger and Burkina Faso on 9 April 2001 and by the UNDP on 18 January 2001.

**309.** On 5 July 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Burkina Faso to disburse US\$29,700 to the sub-contractors.

(--)  
*Support to the Committee of Experts of PGTF (Phase III)*

**310.** **Submitter:** Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77  
**PGTF input:** US\$111,236  
**Other inputs:** Nil  
**Number:** INT/00/08  
**Duration:** Four years  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Chairman of the Group of 77 on 20 June 2001 and by the UNDP on 7 June 2001.

**311.** This project corresponds to a continuation of project INT/90/K08, and allocated funds come from the re-allocation of the remaining balance of project INT/90/K08 approved in October 1990 (US\$101,236) plus a budget increase of US\$10,000 approved in September 1999. As such, it should not be counted as an additional new project in the follow-up of the implementation of approved projects.

**312.** A request for disbursement of US\$20,480 to cover expenses related to the holding of the Sixteenth Meeting of the Committee of Experts of PGTF was made by the Chairman of the Group of 77 on 22 June 2001. Funds were received on 29 June 2001. A financial statement was submitted by the Office of the Chairman on 28 December 2001.

**313.** A request for disbursement of US\$20,480 to cover expenses related to the holding of the Seventeenth Meeting of the Committee of Experts of PGTF was made by the Chairman of the Group of 77 on 10 May 2002. Funds were received on 16 July 2002.

(28)  
*Assessment and study of the residual effect of pesticides utilization on crop products and flowers in Latin America*

**314.** **Submitter:** National University of Colombia.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above.  
**PGTF input:** US\$35,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$354,085  
**Number:** INT/00/K09

- Duration:** Three years.  
**Approval:** Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000).  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Colombia on 28 February 2001 and by the UNDP on 1 March 2001. The sub-contract agreement was received on 7 August 2001.
315. On 19 March 2001, the UNDP authorized the country office in Colombia to disburse US\$31,500 to the subcontractor.
316. A request for a progress report and financial statement was sent by the UNDP in July 2002.
- (29) *Programme of prevention in Peru and control in Ecuador and Colombia against the Guatemalan moth*
317. **Submitter:** Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agraria (SENASA) from Peru  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above.  
**PGTF input:** US\$30,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$43,268  
**Number:** INT/00/K10  
**Duration:** One year  
**Approval:** Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000).  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Peru in April 2001 and by the UNDP on 17 January 2001.
318. On 15 May 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Peru to disburse US\$27,000 to the subcontractor.
319. A progress report and financial statement were submitted by the sub-contractor in July 2002.
- (30) *Support for development of transboundary communities' activities in the Kalahari-Namib region (Namibia, Botswana and South Africa)*
320. **Submitter:** Secretariat of the UNCCD on behalf of the Governments of Namibia, Botswana and South Africa)  
**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required.  
**PGTF input:** US\$35,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$215,000 (estimated)  
**Number:** INT/00/K11  
**Duration:** Five years

**Approval: Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 September 2000)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the SADC-ELMS on behalf of the Governments of Botswana, Namibia and South Africa and by the UNDP on 29 April 2002.**

**321. The UNDP authorized disbursement of US\$31,500 (90 percent of allocated funds) to the sub-contractor in June 2002.**

**(31) *Strengthening the livelihood of women potters associations, in order to fight against pressure on natural resources and rural poverty***

**322. Submitter: Governments of Burkina Faso, Ghana and Togo**

**Subcontractor: NGOs "ABC Ecologie" in Niger and "ACAS" in Mali**

**PGTF input: US\$10,000**

**Other inputs: US\$20,300**

**Number: INT/00/K12**

**Duration: Two years**

**Approval: Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000).**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Executive Secretary of CILSS on behalf of the Governments of Burkina Faso, Ghana and Togo on 9 April 2001 and by the UNDP on 18 January 2001.**

**323. On 5 July 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Burkina Faso to disburse US\$9,000 to the subcontractor.**

**324. The Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP and UNCCD should follow up on this matter.**

**(32) *Transborder Pilot Project in Mali, Mauritania and Senegal***

**325. Submitter: Governments of Mali, Mauritania and Senegal.**

**Subcontractor: Local NGOs**

**PGTF input: US\$33,000**

**Other inputs: US\$224,000**

**Number: INT/00/K13**

**Duration: Three years**

**Approval: Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000).**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Executive Secretary of CILSS on behalf of the Governments of Mali, Mauritania and Senegal on 9 April 2001 and by the UNDP on 18 January 2001.**



326. On 5 July 2001, the UNDP authorized the UNDP country office in Burkina Faso to disburse US\$29,700 to the subcontractor.

327. The Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP and UNCCD should follow up on this matter.

(33) *Improving Awassi Sheep Productivity by using frozen semen in Jordan and the Palestinian Authority*

328. **Submitter:** Jordan University of Science and Technology

**Subcontractor:** Same as above.

**PGTF input:** US\$110,000

**Other inputs:** US\$260,000

**Number:** INT/01/K02

**Duration:** 2 years

**Approval:** Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)

**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Jordan on 29 May 2002 and by UNDP on 6 May 2002.

329. On 27 June 2002 the UNDP authorized disbursement of US\$99,000 which represents 90 percent of the total resources allocated. The authorization indicated that disbursement should be made in two tranches.

(34) *Watershed Management in the Northern Badia Region as an example for desertic regions*

330. **Submitter:** AL al-Bayt University from Jordan.

**Subcontractor:** Same as above.

**PGTF input:** US\$75,000

**Other inputs:** US\$142,720

**Number:** INT/01/K03

**Duration:** 3 years

**Approval:** Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)

**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the Government of Jordan on 6 May 2002 and by UNDP on 11 April 2002.

331. On 27 June 2002 the UNDP authorized disbursement of US\$67,500 which represents 90 percent of the total resources allocated. The authorization indicated that disbursement should be made in two tranches.

(35) *Distributed Modeling of Pipeline Networks*

- 332. Submitter: Jordan University of Science and Technology.  
 Subcontractor: Same as above.  
 PGTF input: US\$80,000  
 Other inputs: US\$80,000  
 Number: INT/01/K05  
 Duration: One year  
 Approval: Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)  
 Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Government of Jordan on 12 May 2002 and by UNDP on 11 April 2002.**
- 333. On 27 June 2002 the UNDP authorized disbursement of US\$72,000 which represents 90 percent of the total resources allocated. The authorization indicated that disbursement should be made in two tranches.**
- (36) *Technical Assistance and Support to the relatively less advanced stage of economic development countries in business missions***
- 334. Submitter: Latin American Integration Association (ALADI)  
 Subcontractor: Same as above.  
 PGTF input: US\$17,500  
 Other inputs: US\$75,300  
 Number: INT/01/K06  
 Duration: Three months  
 Approval: Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)  
 Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Secretary-General of ALADI on 28 June 2002 and by UNDP on 18 June 2002.**
- 335. On 8 July 2002, the UNDP authorized disbursement to ALADI of US\$15,750 which represents 90 percent of the allocated resources.**
- (37) *Water and energy optimization in sugar and alcohol integrated production***
- 336. Submitter: Cuban Research Institute for Sugar Cane Byproducts (ICIDCA)  
 Subcontractor: Same as above.  
 PGTF input: US\$86,000  
 Other inputs: US\$90,000  
 Number: INT/01/K07  
 Duration: One year**

**Approval: Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)**

**Date of signature of relevant documents: The project document was signed by the Government of Cuba on 30 May 2002 and by UNDP on 15 May 2002.**

**337. On 8 July 2002 the UNDP authorized disbursement to the sub-contractor of US\$77,400 which represents 90 percent of the allocated resources. Disbursement should be made in two tranches.**

## **B. PROJECTS UNDER PREPARATION STILL TO BE IMPLEMENTED**

**338. A total of five (5) projects were under preparation as of the date of the Seventeenth Meeting of the Committee of Experts, namely two (2) of the fourteen projects approved by the Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 in September 1999, and three (3) of the eight projects approved by the Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 in November 2001.**

**(1) *Human resource development and training in international trade for the member states of the Communauté Economique et Monétaire de l'Afrique Centrale (CEMAC)***

**339. Submitter: Executive Secretariat of the Communauté Economique et Monétaire de l'Afrique Centrale (CEMAC) headquartered in Bangui, Central African Republic  
Subcontractor: To be awarded if required**

**PGTF input: US\$22,000**

**Other inputs: US\$58,300 (estimated)**

**Number: INT/99/K08**

**Duration: Two years.**

**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**

**340. On 18 November 1999, the Chairman of the Group of 77 informed the submitting entity of the approval of the project and provided instructions on the procedures that should be followed to secure the disbursement of funds from PGTF.**

**341. The project document was sent to the UNDP country office in Central African Republic for signature on 25 May 2001. A reminder was sent in July 2002.**

**342. The Committee recommended that if the project document is not signed before 31 December 2002, the project should be cancelled and the allocated funds should revert to PGTF.**

**(2) *Support to the implementation of the Bali Plan of Action of the Group of 77***

343. **Submitter:** Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77 in New York  
**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required  
**PGTF input:** US\$30,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$415,000 (estimated)  
**Number:** INT/99/K11  
**Duration:** One year.  
**Approval:** Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)
344. The Committee recommended that the Office of the Chairman expedite the preparation and submission of the project document.
345. The Committee recommended that if the project document is not finalized and signed before 31 December 2002, the project should be cancelled and the allocated funds should revert to PGTF.
- (3) *Quinoa, a multipurpose crop for agro-industrial uses in the Andean countries*
346. **Submitter:** Universidad Nacional del Altiplano from Puno, Peru, through the National Council for Science and Technology of Peru.  
**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required.  
**PGTF input:** US\$74,900  
**Other inputs:** US\$89,800 (estimated)  
**Number:** INT/01/K01  
**Duration:** 18 months  
**Approval:** Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)
- (4) *Training of Maize breeding experts for effective use of maize germplasm in Africa*
347. **Submitter:** Academy of Agricultural Science of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.  
**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required.  
**PGTF input:** US\$81,200  
**Other inputs:** US\$159,100 (estimated)  
**Number:** INT/01/K04  
**Duration:** Four months  
**Approval:** Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)
- (5) *Multilateral Trading System Training Centre*

348. **Submitter:** Institute of Diplomatic Studies of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Arab Republic of Egypt.  
**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required.  
**PGTF input:** US\$86,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$90,520 (estimated)  
**Number:** INT/01/K08  
**Duration:** 2 years.  
**Approval:** Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 November 2001)

### C. PROJECTS UNDER QUERY

349. The Committee noted with satisfaction that no projects were under query as of July 2002.

### V. OTHER MATTERS

350. The Committee agreed that the deadline for the submission of project proposals by Member States for consideration at its next regular session would be 30 April 2003, in accordance with the revised guidelines for utilization of PGTF. It is understood that the date of submission of proposals is the date when they are officially received by the Office of the Chairman. At this session, the Committee will also consider the project proposals referred to in para. 47 of this report.

#### Survey of the impact of PGTF

351. The Committee recommended at its twelfth meeting to carry out a survey of the impact and benefits of the projects funded by the PGTF with a view to supporting the promotion of the Fund. So far, the Office of the Chairman has received information on 28 of the 58 PGTF supported projects that have been completed. Further reports are expected so as to be able to prepare a comprehensive assessment of the impact of PGTF since its operationalization in 1986. A brief summary for each completed project is provided below:

*(1-2) Group of 77 Information Bulletin; and ECDC/TCDC Information support to the Group of 77 Chapters*

352. **Number:** INT/88/K01 and INT/93/K02  
**PGTF input (US\$):** 109,520 and 51,120 respectively  
**Other inputs (US\$):** 68,400 and 45,600 respectively  
**Date of implementation:** 1988-1991 and 1993-1994 respectively

**Impact:** Established an important communication link between the various G-77 chapters. It also helped to disseminate the views of the G-77 to a wider audience.

**(3) *Technical Co-operation among SELA member States in artificial insemination and embryo transplants***

**353. Number:** INT/89/K03

**PGTF input (US\$):** 83,700

**Other inputs (US\$):** 202,000

**Date of implementation:** 1989-1993

**Impact:** The project created a network of institutions that introduced or improved artificial insemination and embryo transplant procedures. Outstanding results have been achieved in Cuba, Chile, Uruguay and Brazil. Development of these bio-technologies in the region is significant and their use is an everyday occurrence today.

**(4) *Export promotion and cooperation in favor of less developed countries within the Latin American Integration Association (ALADI)***

**354. Number:** INT/89/K05

**PGTF input (US\$):** 120,000

**Other inputs (US\$):** 107,971

**Date of implementation:** 1991-1992

**Impact:** Additional exports of US\$3 million through support to 80 small and medium size enterprises and implementation of 33 export promotion activities, benefitting directly and indirectly over 4,200 workers.

**(5) *Regional program for cooperation and concerted action in the area of plant germ plasm***

**355. Number:** INT/89/K12

**PGTF input (US\$):** 58,000

**Other inputs (US\$):** 309,200

**Date of implementation:** 1991-1997

**Impact:** Publication of a book on biodiversity containing all the findings and innovative elements related to the germ plasm issue.

**(6-7) *South-North Development Monitor (SUNS); and Information Support to the Group of 77 Chapters (SUNS)***

**356. Number:** INT/89/K13 and INT/93/K03

**PGTF input (US\$):** 120,000 and 59,881 respectively

**Other inputs (US\$):** 164,000 and 158,517 respectively

**Date of implementation: 1991-1992 and 1994-1995 respectively**

**Impact: Supported publication of SUNS during 24 months (over 400 issues). SUNS has since been made available by fax to the G-77 missions in New York.**

**(8) *Assistance to the Fifth Meeting of the Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund***

**357. Number: INT/89/K14**

**PGTF input (US\$): 25,000**

**Other inputs (US\$): Nil**

**Date of implementation: 1990-1992**

**Impact: Following a decision by the Group of 77 to fund the participation of the members of the Committee of Experts at its meetings, this project ensured participation of Committee members by covering travel, subsistence and terminal expenses for the Fifth Meeting (1990), Sixth Meeting (1991) and partially the Seventh Meeting in 1992.**

**(9) *In-depth review of the actions taken by the international community in favor of the particular needs and problems of the land-locked developing countries and a basis for new strategy to overcome these needs and problems in the future***

**358. Number: INT/90/K03**

**PGTF input (US\$): 95,000**

**Other inputs (US\$): 23,800**

**Date of implementation: 1991-1995**

**Impact: Inventory of a large number of studies and projects served as a basis for recommending a coordinated action. In particular it is recommended that a high level office be created with a view to coordinating joint efforts of the land-locked countries at the international level, in particular those related to international trade.**

**(10) *Regional symposium on the economic and social impact of money derived from illicit drug trafficking in the development of Latin America and the Caribbean***

**359. Number: INT/90/K04**

**PGTF input (US\$): 78,000**

**Other inputs (US\$): 103,000**

**Date of implementation: 1991**

**Impact: Publication of a book containing the conclusions of the symposium which aimed mainly at an integrated approach to the problem including both producing and consumer countries, with solutions ranging from eradication to crop substitution.**

**(11) *Organic rice farming***

- 360. Number: INT/90/K06**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 85,000**  
**Other inputs (US\$):nil**  
**Date of implementation: 1991-1997**  
**Impact: The study has provided information on the characteristics of various organic matter sources when used for organic rice farming. It also provided information for both upscaling the project to the pilot level, and enabling farmers to further exploit these techniques.**

*(12-14) Technical, secretariat and other support to the GSTP, and technical assistance to countries participating in the GSTP (First Round); and Technical assistance to the members of the G-77 participating in the Second Round of negotiations within the GSTP and seeking membership in the GSTP, as well as related technical, secretariat and other support to the GSTP*

- 361. Number: INT/90/K07 and INT/92/K03 (First Round), and INT/94/K02 (Second Round)**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 350,000 and 250,000; and 72,363 respectively**  
**Other inputs (US\$): Nil**  
**Date of implementation: 1991-1993, 1992-1994 and 1995-1997 respectively**  
**Impact: First round led to launching of the agreement, with 43 member countries and negotiations on 1,700 tariff lines. Second round enabled accession of 8 additional member countries and a sub-regional grouping, with consolidated list of concessions covering 700 tariff lines.**

*(15) Establishment of the Regional Bureau and Trade Information Network for Chamber of Commerce & Industry (CCI) of Developing Countries Members of the Group of 77*

- 362. Number: INT/91/K01**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 150,000**  
**Other inputs (US\$): 270,000**  
**Date of implementation: 1991-1994**  
**Impact: Sixty chambers of commerce participated in the pilot project. They were assisted in formulating a plan of action for their respective centers. In addition, Web pages were created for this pilot group.**

*(16) Economic Integration in the Southern Cone Common Market*

- 363. Number: INT/92/K01**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 88,000**  
**Other inputs (US\$): Nil**  
**Date of implementation: 1992-1994**



**Impact:** Greatly facilitated the negotiations of the sub-regional integration scheme that led to the emergence of a customs union known as Mercosur. The studies were widely circulated in the region and a large number of experts, academics and policy makers benefitted from them.

(17) *Development and use of a computer simulation model for supply, demand and prices of agricultural commodities in ASEAN countries*

364. Number: INT/92/K04

PGTF input (US\$): 81,600

Other inputs (US\$): Nil

Date of implementation: 1993-1994

**Impact:** Improved the skills of the participants in forecasting trends in export agricultural commodities. Some of the participants were able to set-up national training programs on computer simulation models.

(18-19) *African Agency for Biotechnology*

365. Number: INT/92/K06 (Phase I) and INT/93/K01 (Phase II)

PGTF input (US\$): 150,000 and 150,000

Other inputs (US\$): Nil

Date of implementation: 1993-2000 and 1994-2000 respectively

**Impact:** Provided seed money for the setting up of the Agency. Financed 16 country studies (one for each member state), acquisition of equipment and setting up of the operating structures of the Agency. Current regular budget activities being financed by member country contributions and funds from regional development banks.

(20) *Guidelines and tools of a common industrial policy for Mercosur*

366. Number: INT/93/K05

PGTF input (US\$): 120,000

Other inputs (US\$): 36,000

Date of implementation: 1994-1997

**Impact:** Provided important inputs for the analysis of the costs and benefits of the implementation of common industrial policies among Mercosur member countries. It also proposed guidelines for the harmonization of the industrial policies among Mercosur countries.

(21) *Employment and income generation in West and Central Africa*

367. Number: INT/94/K05

PGTF input (US\$): 30,000

**Other inputs (US\$): 67,000**

**Date of implementation: 1995-1998**

**Impact: 11 projects were launched in Benin, Burkina Faso and Togo providing income for 5,000 people and protecting natural resources.**

**(22) *Productive Internationalization MERCOSUR: Foreign direct investment (FDI) and regional transnational corporations***

**368. Number: INT/95/K02**

**PGTF input (US\$): 140,000**

**Other inputs (US\$): 42,200**

**Date of implementation: 1996-1997**

**Impact: The study provided a clear and objective understanding of the role that FDI is playing in the integration process of the region. Extracts from the study were reproduced as discussion papers in technical and policy level meetings in the Mercosur. However, its actual impact on FDI policies needs further clarification.**

**(23) *South-South transfer of experiences in sustainable agricultural development***

**369. Number: INT/96/K05**

**PGTF input (US\$): 84,000**

**Other inputs (US\$): 93,000**

**Date of implementation: 1998-2001**

**Impact: Collection of information on 65 agricultural and rural development projects in seventeen countries in Latin America and the Caribbean. Preparation of 30 project summaries and 10 case studies. Publication of 11 issues of a newsletter in English and Spanish.**

**(23) *Training, consultation, awareness building for small hydro power in developing countries***

**370. Number: INT/98/K06**

**PGTF input (US\$): 150,000**

**Other inputs (US\$): 330,300**

**Date of implementation: 1999-2001**

**Impact: Five training seminars in four developing countries. Consultation missions in seven countries to carry out feasibility studies. Publication of two training texts. Research and compilation of three surveys and a standards study. Initial funding for an international center for small hydro power through a trust fund administered by UNIDO.**

**(24) *International Youth Leadership Training for Promotion of South-South Cooperation***

371. **Number: INT/98/K07**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 20,000**  
**Other inputs (US\$): 171,695**  
**Date of implementation: 1999-2000**  
**Impact: Holding of a study and training conference for 70 youth leaders from 16 countries.**
- (25) *Master Plan for the sustainable development of transportation and related infrastructure in South America*
372. **Number: INT/98/K08**  
**PGTF input: US\$50,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$413,000**  
**Date of implementation: 1999-2001**  
**Impact: It identified the main trade flows and the supply of transportation services in the region. This will facilitate the enhancement of these services and their infrastructure, as well as their regional production competitiveness.**
- (26) *Establishment of a kit for the diagnosis of the Chagas disease*
373. **Number: INT/98/K18**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 35,000**  
**Other inputs (US\$): 705,000**  
**Date of implementation: 1999-2000**  
**Impact: Development of two new highly selective and specific antigens for the diagnosis of the disease, that would be the basis for preparing very low-cost kits that could compete favorably in the market.**
- (27) *Technical assistance based on the regional approach in the field of standardization, metrology and quality control among developing countries*
374. **Number: INT/99/K02**  
**PGTF input (US\$): 25,000**  
**Other inputs (US\$): 73,667**  
**Date of implementation: 2000**  
**Impact: Training of officers from Lao, Cambodia, Nepal and Bangladesh in the establishment of their own national systems of standards and metrology.**
- (28) *Programme for regional technical cooperation in the field of integration in Mercosur member countries*
375. **Number: INT/00/K01**

**PGTF input: US\$40,000**

**Other inputs: US\$138,000 (estimated)**

**Duration: One year**

**Date of implementation: 2001.**

**Impact: Publication of a book with experts' views and research on assessment and perspectives of Mercosur in its first decade. Dissemination activities for policy-makers aimed at reinforcing integration and cooperation within Mercosur.**

**Italo Acha**

**Eduardo Praselj (Chairman)**

**Sin Son Ho**

**Abderrahmane Merouane**

**Edouard Aho-Glele**

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS****Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund for ECDC/TCDC**

**Mr. Italo Acha**  
**Head of the Department of Commercial Affairs**  
**Embassy of Peru**  
**Washington, D.C.**

**Mr. Edouard Aho-Glele**  
**Minister Counsellor**  
**Permanent Mission of Benin**  
**to the United Nations**  
**New York**

**Mr. Abderrahmane Merouane**  
**Counsellor**  
**Cabinet of the Minister in charge of African Affairs**  
**Ministry of Foreign Affairs**  
**Algiers, Algeria**

**Dr. Eduardo Praselj (Chairman)**  
**Former Vicepresident Petróleos de Venezuela**  
**Former President Petroquímica de Venezuela**  
**Former Deputy Minister of Energy and Mines**  
**Caracas, Venezuela**

**H.E. Mr. Sin Son Ho**  
**Ambassador**  
**Permanent Mission of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea**  
**to the United Nations**  
**New York, N.Y.**

**INACTIVE PROJECTS****A. PROJECTS COMPLETED**

1. Eight (8) projects were completed since the previous meeting of the Committee of Experts, bringing the total of completed projects to fifty-eight (58), as follows:

- (1) *Group of 77 Information Bulletin*
2. **Submitter: Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77**  
**Subcontractor: Inter Press Service (IPS)**  
**PGTF input: US\$109,520**  
**Other inputs: US\$51,120**  
**Duration: Four years**  
**Approval: IFCC-VI (Havana, 7-12 September 1987) and IFCC-VII (Kuala Lumpur, 31 July-5 August 1989) for one-year extension**  
**Number: INT/88/K01/C/95/99**  
**Completion: 31 December 1990.**
- (2) *Feasibility study on development of developing countries' consultancy and engineering capacities*
3. **Submitter: International Centre for Public Enterprises in Developing Countries (ICPE)/International Association of State Trading Organizations of Developing Countries (ASTRO)**  
**Subcontractor: Not required**  
**PGTF input: US\$70,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Approval: IFCC-VI (Havana, 7-12 September 1987)**  
**Number: INT/88/K03/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Feasibility report circulated to Member States on 2 November 1989.**
- (3) *Action Committee of G-77 for Cooperation in Consultancy, Construction and Engineering among Developing Countries*
4. **Submitter: Action Committee for Cooperation in Consultancy, Construction and Engineering (Havana, Cuba)**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$38,500**

**Other inputs: US\$38,500**  
**Duration: Six months**  
**Approval: IFCC-VI (Havana, 7-12 September 1987)**  
**Number: INT/88/K04/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report circulated to Member States on 16 June 1993.**

- (4) *Feasibility study on information system on technologies and projects (ISTP)*
5. **Submitter: Centre for International Cooperation and Development (CICD) of Yugoslavia**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$45,450**  
**Other inputs: US\$20,000**  
**Duration: Six months**  
**Approval: 12th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28-30 September 1988)**  
**Number: INT/89/K01/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Feasibility report circulated to Member States on 24 October 1990.**
- (5) *Technical Cooperation among Member States of SELA in the Field of Artificial insemination and embryo transplant*
6. **Submitter: Latin American Economic System (SELA) in Venezuela**  
**Subcontractor: Not required**  
**PGTF input: US\$83,700**  
**Other inputs: US\$202,000**  
**Duration: Two years and four months**  
**Approval: 12th Annual Ministerial Meeting (28-30 September 1988)**  
**Number: INT/89/K03/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 12 February 1993.**
- (6) *Cooperation among developing countries' consultancy and engineering design organizations for better access and position in international consultancy and engineering market*
7. **Submitter: International Center for Public Enterprises of Developing Countries (ICPE)/International Association of State Trading Organizations of Developing Countries (ASTRO), in former Yugoslavia (Slovenia)**  
**Subcontractor: Not required**  
**PGTF input: US\$90,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$9,000**  
**Duration: Eighteen months**  
**Approval: IFCC-VII (Kuala Lumpur, 31 July-5 August 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K04/A/95/99**

- Completion: Final Report submitted on 4 January 1996**
- (7) *Export promotion and cooperation in favor of less developed countries within the Latin American Integration Association (ALADI)*
8. **Submitter: Latin American Integration Association (ALADI) in Uruguay**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$120,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$107,971**  
**Duration: Twelve months**  
**Approval: IFCC-VII (Kuala Lumpur, 31 July-5 August 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K05/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 7 April 1993.**
- (8) *Establishment of a Maghreb Unit in the National Center for Information and Economic Documentation (CNIDE) of Algeria*
9. **Submitter: Government of Algeria**  
**Subcontractor: CNIDE**  
**PGTF input: US\$65,450**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: IFCC-VII (Kuala Lumpur, 31 July-5 August 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K06/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 17 August 1993.**
- (9) *Feasibility study for establishing a raw materials research and development center information system*
10. **Submitter: Government of Nigeria**  
**Subcontractor: Raw Materials Research and Development Council of Nigeria**  
**PGTF input: US\$100,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: 12 months**  
**Approval: 13th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28 September 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K09/95/99**  
**Completion: Feasibility Report submitted on 18 June 1996**
- (10) *Provision of consultancy services by CARICAD*
11. **Submitter: Caribbean Center for Development Administration (CARICAD) of Barbados**



- Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$45,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$200,000**  
**Duration: Two years**  
**Approval: 13th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28 September 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K10/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report forwarded on 25 March 1994.**
- (11) *Regional programme for cooperation and coordination on plant germ plasm*
- 12. Submitter: Latin American Economic System (SELA) in Venezuela**  
**Subcontractor: Not required**  
**PGTF input: US\$58,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$309,200**  
**Duration: Two years**  
**Approval: 13th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28 September 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K12/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 29 May 1998.**
- (12) *South-North Development Monitor (SUNS)*
- 13. Submitter: South Commission**  
**Subcontractor: Third World Network (TWN)**  
**PGTF input: US\$120,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$164,000**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: 13th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28 September 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K13/A/95/99**  
**Completion: 31 January 1992.**
- (13) *Assistance to the Fifth Meeting of the Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund*
- 14. Submitter: Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77**  
**Subcontractor: Not required**  
**PGTF input: US\$25,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One week**  
**Approval: IFCC-VII (Kuala Lumpur, 31 July-5 August 1989)**  
**Number: INT/89/K14/A/95/99**  
**Completion: 19 August 1993**

- (14) *Integrated management of the associated resources to the mangrove areas in the Pacific coast of Central America*
15. **Submitter:** National University of Costa Rica  
**Subcontractor:** Foundation of Science, Art and Culture of the National University of Costa Rica (FUNA)  
**PGTF input:** US\$84,800  
**Other inputs:** US\$60,000  
**Duration:** Two years  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K01/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 9 December 1994
- (15) *Recovery, preservation and dissemination of printed historical documentation of the 16th, 17th and 18th centuries in the Americas, existing in signatory countries of the Andres Bello Convention*
16. **Submitter:** Autonomous Institute of the National Library of Venezuela  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$94,500  
**Other inputs:** US\$154,188  
**Duration:** Three years  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K02/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 15 December 1993
- (16) *In-depth review of the actions taken by the international community in favor of the particular needs and problems of land-locked developing countries and a basis for a new strategy to overcome these needs and problems in the future*
17. **Submitter:** Center for the Study of International Relations and Development (CERID) of Bolivia  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$95,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$23,800  
**Duration:** Nine months  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K03/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 4 December 1995
- (17) *Regional symposium on the economic and social impact of money derived from illicit drug trafficking in the development of Latin America and the Caribbean*

18. **Submitter:** Center for the Study of International Relations and Development (CERID) of Bolivia.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$78,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$103,000  
**Duration:** Five and a half months  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (3 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K04/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 13 August 1991.
- (18) *Intensifying the utilization of operations research techniques in the management of agriculture development projects in ASEAN countries*
19. **Submitter:** Department of Agriculture of Malaysia  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$110,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$191,000  
**Duration:** Two years  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K05/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 17 August 1993
- (19) *Organic rice farming system*
20. **Submitter:** Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI)  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$85,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$78,000  
**Duration:** Two years  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K06/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 16 September 1997
- (20) *Technical, secretariat and other support to the Global System on Trade Preferences among developing countries (GSTP), and technical assistance to countries participating in the GSTP*
21. **Submitter:** Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77  
**Subcontractor:** UNCTAD  
**PGTF input:** US\$350,000  
**Other inputs:** Nil.  
**Duration:** Sixteenth months

**Approval: 13th Annual Ministerial Meetings (New York, 28 September 1989)**

**Number: INT/90/K07/A/95/99**

**Completion: Final Report distributed to Member States on 16 June 1993**

- (21) *Support to the Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund*
22. **Submitter: Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77**  
**Subcontractor: Not required**  
**PGTF input: US\$224,800**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: Five years**  
**Approval: 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 3 October 1990)**  
**Number: INT/90/K08/A/95/99**  
**Completion: The final budget revision was submitted on 3 October 2000. Unspent funds for US\$111,236 were re-allocated to successor project INT/00/K08.**
- (22) *Establishment of the Regional Business and Trade Information Network for Chambers of Commerce and Industry (CCI) of Developing Countries Members of the Group of 77*
23. **Submitter: Steering Committee of the Chambers of Commerce and Industry (CCI) of Developing Countries Members of the Group of 77**  
**Subcontractors: Chamber of Commerce, Industry and Mines of Cameroon; The Chamber of Commerce of Bogota; and the Federation of Pakistan Chambers of Commerce and Industry**  
**PGTF input: US\$150,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$270,000**  
**Duration: Two years**  
**Approval: 15th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1991)**  
**Number: INT/91/K01/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Reports submitted respectively by the Federation of Pakistan Chambers of Commerce and Industry on 29 May 1994, by the Chamber of Commerce, Industry and Mines of Cameroon on 28 March 1994, and by the Bogota Chamber of Commerce on 15 June 1994**
- (23) *Economic Integration in the Southern Cone Common Market*
24. **Submitter: Government of Argentina**  
**Subcontractor: Centro de Investigaciones para la Transformación (CENIT)**  
**PGTF input: US\$88,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil**

- Duration: One year**  
**Approval: 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)**  
**Number: INT/92/K01/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 6 April 1994**
- (24) *Raul Prebisch: Completed Works, 1919-1948, Comparative Experiences of Monetary Policies of Developing Countries, Vols. III and IV*
25. **Submitter: Raul Prebisch Foundation**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$60,700**  
**Other inputs: US\$12,950**  
**Duration: 3 months**  
**Approval: 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)**  
**Number: INT/92/K02/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Complete sets consisting of four volumes distributed in 1994 to the Permanent Missions of the Group of 77 in New York.**
- (25) *Technical, Secretariat and Other Support to the Global System of Trade Preferences among Developing Countries (GSTP), and Technical Assistance to Countries Participating in the GSTP*
26. **Submitter: Group of 77 in Geneva**  
**Subcontractor: UNCTAD**  
**PGTF input: US\$250,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)**  
**Number: INT/92/K03/A/90/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 6 May 1994**
- (26) *The development and use of a computer simulation model for supply, demand and prices of agricultural commodities in ASEAN countries*
27. **Submitter: Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Indonesia**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$81,600**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)**  
**Number: INT/92/K04/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 7 April 1994**

- (27) *African Agency for Biotechnology (Phase I)*
28. **Submitter: Government of Algeria**  
**Subcontractor: Secretariat of State for Scientific Research of Algeria (SERSA)**  
**PGTF input: US\$150,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)**  
**Number: INT/92/K06/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final report and financial statement submitted on 25 July 2000.**
- (28) *African Agency for Biotechnology (Phase II)*
29. **Submitter: Government of Algeria**  
**Subcontractor: Ministry of Universities and Scientific Research of Algeria (MDURSA)**  
**PGTF input: US\$150,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: IFCC-VIII (Panama City, 30 August-3 September 1993)**  
**Number: INT/93/K01/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final report and financial statement submitted on 25 July 2000.**
- (29) *ECDC/TCDC Information Support to Group of 77 Chapters (Journal of the Group of 77)*
30. **Submitter: Office of the Chairman of the Group of 77**  
**Subcontractor: Inter Press Service (IPS)**  
**PGTF input: US\$51,120**  
**Other inputs: US\$45,600**  
**Duration: Two years**  
**Approval: 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992) and IFCC-VIII (Panama City, 30 August-3 September 1993)**  
**Number: INT/93/K02**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 20 December 1995**
- (30) *Information Support to the Group of 77 Chapters - South-North Development Monitor (SUNS)*
31. **Submitter: Third World Network, Penang, Malaysia**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**

- PGTF input: US\$59,881**  
**Other inputs: US\$158,517**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: IFCC-VIII (Panama City, 30 August-3 September 1993)**  
**Number: INT/93/K03**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 10 December 1995**
- (31) *Guidelines and tools for a common industrial policy for Mercosur*
- 32. Submitter: Government of Brazil**  
**Subcontractor: Fundacao Centro de Estudos do Comercio Exterior (FUNCEX) of Brazil**  
**PGTF input: US\$120,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$36,000**  
**Duration: 8 months**  
**Number: INT/93/K05/A/95/99**  
**Approval: IFCC-VIII (Panama City, 30 August-3 September 1993)**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 15 September 1997**
- (32) *Feasibility study for a subregional center for agricultural machinery in the CEPGL subregion*
- 33. Submitter: Communaute Economique des Pays des Grands Lacs (CEPGL)**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$43,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$15,200**  
**Number: INT/93/K07/A/95/99**  
**Duration: 81 days**  
**Approval: IFCC-VIII (Panama City, 30 August-3 September 1993)**  
**Completion: The final budget revision was submitted on 11 March 1999.**
- (33) *Program for the Development of Communications and Cooperation among Latin American and Caribbean Educators (AELAC)*
- 34. Submitter: Association of Educators of Latin America & the Caribbean (AELAC) through the Government of Cuba**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above**  
**PGTF input: US\$30,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$303,370**  
**Duration: 1 year**  
**Approval: Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1994)**

**Number: INT/94/K01/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 30 September 1998**

- (34) *Technical Assistance to the Members of the Group of 77 participating in the Second Round of Negotiations within the Global System of Trade Preferences among Developing Countries (GSTP) and Seeking Membership in the GSTP, as well as Related Technical, Secretariat and Other Support to the GSTP*
35. **Submitter: Chairperson of the Group of 77 in Geneva**  
**Subcontractor: UNCTAD**  
**PGTF input: US\$72,363**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: 1 year**  
**Approval: Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1994)**  
**Number: INT/94/K02/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 18 June 1997. An unspent balance of US\$3,229 reverted to the PGTF.**
- (35) *Establishment of TIN Management Center to Coordinate the Global Establishment of the Network with a Project Coordination Committee*
36. **Submitter: The Steering Committee Chambers of Commerce and Industry of the Group of 77 (CCIs)**  
**Subcontractor: Private Sector Development Programme, UNDP**  
**PGTF input: US\$60,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$105,000**  
**Duration: 1 year**  
**Approval: Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1994)**  
**Number: INT/94/K03/A/95/99**  
**Completion: Final Report submitted on 6 August 1997.**
- (36) *Training on the Use of a Computer Simulation Model for Food Security Analysis in Developing Countries of the NAM*
37. **Submitter: Government of Indonesia**  
**Subcontractor: Ministry of Agriculture of Indonesia**  
**PGTF input: US \$70,000**  
**Other inputs: Nil.**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1994)**



Number: INT/94/K04/A/95/99

Completion: Final report submitted on 24 June 1997.

- (37) *Employment and Income Generation in West and Central Africa*
38. **Submitter:** Sahel Defis through the Government of Benin.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$30,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$67,000  
**Duration:** 2 years.  
**Approval:** Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1994).  
**Number:** INT/94/K05/A/95/99  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 16 February 1998.
- (38) *Optimization of the process for obtaining crude PMSG for animal reproduction*
39. **Submitter:** Biological Pharmaceutical Laboratories (LABIOFAM) through the Government of Cuba  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$165,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$432,194  
**Number:** INT/95/K01/A/95/99  
**Duration:** Two (2) years  
**Approval:** Nineteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 29 September 1995)  
**Completion:** Final budget revision submitted on 11 March 1999.
- (39) *Productive internationalization in Mercosur: Foreign direct investment and regional transnational corporations*
40. **Submitter:** CPC Consultora Internacional Ltd. through the Government of Uruguay.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$140,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$42,200  
**Number:** INT/95/K02/A/95/99  
**Duration:** Twelve (12) months  
**Approval:** Nineteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 30 September 1995)  
**Completion:** Final Report submitted on 23 December 1997.

- (40) *Entrepreneurial Strengthening of Industrial and Artisan Cooperatives in Central America*
41. **Submitter:** International Cooperative Alliance, a non-governmental organisation, through its American Regional Bureau headquartered in San José, Costa Rica  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$65,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$79,000  
**Number:** INT/96/K01/A/95/99  
**Duration:** One (1) year  
**Approval:** Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 27 September 1996)  
**Completion:** Final budget revision submitted on 28 August 2000.
- (41) *Training of Personnel in the Field of Standardization and Meteorology for the Conformity Assessment among Developing Countries*
42. **Submitter:** Committee for Standardization of Democratic People's Republic of Korea through its Ministry for Foreign Affairs.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$76,500  
**Other inputs:** US\$160,000  
**Number:** INT/96/K03/A/95/99  
**Duration:** One (1) year  
**Approval:** Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 27 September 1996)  
**Completion:** The final report was submitted on 13 October 1998.
- (42) *South-South Transfer of Experiences in Sustainable Agricultural Development*
43. **Submitter:** Inter-American Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture (IICA) based in Guyana.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$84,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$93,000  
**Number:** INT/96/K05/A/95/99  
**Duration:** One (1) year  
**Approval:** Twentieth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 27 September 1996)  
**Completion:** Final budget revision submitted on 8 June 2001.
- (43) *Biological Control of Pests and Weeds for Sustainable Agriculture and Bio-diversity*

44. **Submitter:** Centre for Science and Technology of the Non-Aligned and Other Developing Countries in India.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$25,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$25,000  
**Number:** INT/97/K02  
**Duration:** Three (3) years  
**Approval:** Twenty-first Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 26 September 1997)  
**Completion:** Final report submitted on 31 January 2002.
- (44) *Integrated Planning and New Technologies for Sustainable Development*
45. **Submitter:** Caribbean Council for Science and Technology in Trinidad and Tobago.  
**Subcontractor:** Same as above  
**PGTF input:** US\$37,560  
**Other inputs:** US\$41,720  
**Number:** INT/97/K03  
**Duration:** Three days (tentative)  
**Approval:** Twenty-first Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 26 September 1997)  
**Completion:** 21 September 2001
- (45) *Training of rice breeding experts for the effective use of rice genetic resources in the developing countries*
46. **Submitter:** Academy of Agricultural Science of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea through the Permanent Mission of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea to the United Nations Democratic People's Republic of Korea  
**Subcontractor:** Academy of Agricultural Science of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea  
**PGTF input:** US\$100,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$146,500  
**Number:** INT/98/K03  
**Duration:** 13 months  
**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)  
**Completion:** The final report was submitted on 1 May 2000.
- (46) *Training, consultation, awareness and capacity building for small hydro power in developing countries*

47. **Submitter:** International Network on Small Hydro Power of the People's Republic of China through the Permanent Mission of the People's Republic of China to the United Nations  
**Subcontractor:** International Network on Small Hydro Power  
**PGTF input:** US\$150,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$330,300  
**Number:** INT/98/K06  
**Duration:** 1 year  
**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)  
**Completion:** The final report and financial statement were received on 15 February 2001. Request for final budget revision sent on 3 May 2001.
- (47) *International youth leadership training for promotion of South-South cooperation*
48. **Submitter:** All Nigeria United Nations Students' and Youth Association (ANUNSA) from Nigeria  
**Subcontractor:** ANUNSA  
**PGTF input:** US\$20,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$171,695  
**Number:** INT/98/K07  
**Duration:** 6 months  
**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)  
**Completion:** Final breakdown of disbursement received on 21 September 2000. Final budget revision to be prepared at the Special Unit for TCDC of UNDP.
- (48) *Master Plan for the sustainable development of transportation and related infrastructure in South America*
49. **Submitter:** Latin American Integration Association (ALADI) with headquarters in Montevideo, Uruguay  
**Sub-contractor:** Not required  
**PGTF input:** US\$50,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$413,000  
**Number:** INT/98/K08  
**Duration:** 1 year  
**Approval:** Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)  
**Completion:** Final budget revision submitted on 8 March 2001.

- (49) *Design of a regional project to strengthen strategies to reduce drug demand in Colombia, Ecuador and Venezuela*
50. **Submitter: National Drug Directorate from Colombia**  
**Subcontractor: To be awarded if required**  
**PGTF input: US\$15,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$26,076**  
**Number: INT/98/K17**  
**Duration: 4 months**  
**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 30 October 2000.**
- (50) *Development of a kit for the diagnosis of the Chagas disease*
51. **Submitter: University of Los Andes from Venezuela through the Permanent Mission of Venezuela to the United Nations**  
**Subcontractor: To be awarded if required.**  
**PGTF input: US\$35,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$705,000**  
**Number: INT/98/K18**  
**Duration: Thirteen months.**  
**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**  
**Completion: Final budget revision submitted on 9 September 2000.**
- (51) *Development of information network and database system for NAM-CSSTC*
52. **Submitter: Department of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia.**  
**Subcontractor: To be awarded if required**  
**PGTF input: US\$30,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$970,950 (in-kind)**  
**Number: INT/99/K01**  
**Duration: Three years.**  
**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 24 April 2002.**
- (52) *Technical assistance in the field of standardization, metrology and quality management among developing countries*
53. **Submitter: Committee for Standardization of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea.**

- Subcontractor: To be awarded if required**  
**PGTF input: US\$25,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$73,667**  
**Number: INT/99/K02**  
**Duration: One year.**  
**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 15 May 2001.**
- (53) *Transportation information system (TIS) for the member countries of the Latin American Integration Association (ALADI)*
54. **Submitter: Secretariat of ALADI**  
**Subcontractor: Not required.**  
**PGTF input: US\$37,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$113,800**  
**Number: INT/99/K03**  
**Duration: 10 months**  
**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 29 August 2001.**
- (54) *Preparation, reproduction and distribution of the second edition of the CD-ROM of the Pro-tempore Secretariat of the Amazon Cooperation Treaty*
55. **Submitter: Pro-Tempore Secretariat of the Amazon Cooperation Treaty**  
**Subcontractor: To be awarded if required**  
**PGTF input: US\$35,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$684,000 (estimated)**  
**Number: INT/99/K09**  
**Duration: Six months.**  
**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**  
**Completion: Final budget revision submitted on 9 November 2000.**
- (55) *Citrus certification programmes in Central America and the Caribbean*
56. **Submitter: Instituto de Investigaciones de Cítricos y otros Frutales, Cuba.**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above.**  
**PGTF input: US\$35,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$25,000**  
**Number: INT/99/K10/A/95/99**

- Duration: One year.**  
**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 24 September 1999).**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 18 January 2002.**
- (56) *Programme for regional technical cooperation in the field of integration in Mercosur member countries*
57. **Submitter: Member institutions of the Mercosur economic research network**  
**Subcontractor: To be awarded if required.**  
**PGTF input: US\$40,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$138,000 (estimated)**  
**Number: INT/00/K01**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 15 September 2000)**  
**Completion: Final report submitted in December 2001.**
- (57) *Training of Irrigation and Drainage Designers for Undertaking Development of Water Resources in Developing Countries in Asia*
58. **Submitter: Agricultural Ministry of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea**  
**Subcontractor: Irrigation Designing Institute from the Agricultural Ministry of DPRK.**  
**PGTF input: US\$40,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$100,000**  
**Number: INT/00/K04**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000)**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 10 January 2002.**
- (58) *Cooperation for Development and Application of Biological Farming Method among Developing Countries in Asia*
59. **Submitter: Biological Branch of the Academy of Science of the DPR of Korea**  
**Subcontractor: Same as above.**  
**PGTF input: US\$40,000**  
**Other inputs: US\$112,500**  
**Number: INT/00/K05**  
**Duration: One year**  
**Approval: Twenty-fourth Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 15 September 2000).**  
**Completion: Final report submitted on 10 January 2002.**

**B. PROJECTS NOT IMPLEMENTED AND ALLOCATED FUNDS REVERTED TO PGTF**

1. Two projects were cancelled since the previous meeting of the Committee of Experts, and the allocated funds reverted to PGTF, bringing the total of projects not implemented to thirteen (13), as follows:

- (1) *Interregional Trade Financing Facility*
2. **Submitter: UNCTAD**  
**PGTF input: US\$40,000**  
**Approval: IFCC-VI (Havana, 7-12 September 1987)**  
**Number: INT/88/K02/A/95/99**  
**Cancellation decision: Thirteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1989).**  
**Reason: Similar project funded by UNCTAD.**
- (2) *Facilitating the implementation of the Multisectoral Information Network (MSIN)*
3. **Submitter: Technological Information Pilot System (TIPS)/Inter Press Service (IPS)**  
**PGTF input: US\$15,000**  
**Approval: IFCC-VI (Havana, 7-12 September 1987)**  
**Number: INT/88/K05/A/95/99**  
**Cancellation decision: Fourteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (October 1990).**  
**Reason: Not implemented three years after approval.**
- (3) *Action Committee on Inter-enterprise Cooperation*
4. **Submitter: International Center for Public Enterprises (ICPE)/Research Center for Cooperation with Developing Countries (RCCDC) of Yugoslavia**  
**PGTF input: US\$35,000**  
**Approval: 12th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28-30 September 1988)**  
**Number: INT/89/K02/A/95/99**  
**Cancellation decision: Sixteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (October 1992).**  
**Reason: No matching contribution received from project sponsors by the established deadline of 31 December 1989**
- (4) *Monetary Cooperation Fund of the Non-Aligned and other Developing Countries*
5. **Submitter: Government of Madagascar**  
**PGTF input: US\$30,000**  
**Duration: To be determined**  
**Approval: 13th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28 September 1989)**



- Number: INT/89/K08/A/95/99  
 Cancellation decision: Seventeenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1993).  
 Reason: Not implemented by the established deadline of June 1994.
- (5) *The Caribbean into the Twenty-first Century*
6. **Submitter:** The Nation Organization of Barbados/Eastern Caribbean Research Center (ECRC) of Saint Lucia  
**PGTF input:** US\$50,000  
**Duration:** Four days  
**Approval:** 13th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 28 September 1989)  
**Number:** INT/89/K11/A/95/99  
**Cancellation decision:** Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1994).  
**Reason:** Implementing organization failed to deliver substantive and financial reports on the implementation of the project. The Government of Saint Lucia refunded disbursed resources in 1997.
- (6) *Upgrading of veterinary field health services through development of an animal disease information center*
7. **Submitter:** Government of Malaysia  
**PGTF input:** US\$140,000  
**Duration:** 18 months  
**Approval:** 14th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1-2 October 1990)  
**Number:** INT/90/K09/A/95/99  
**Cancellation decision:** Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1994).  
**Reason:** Not implemented by the established deadline of 31 December 1994.
- (7) *Public Enterprises Rationalization Project*
8. **Submitter:** Government of Saint Lucia  
**PGTF input:** US\$60,800  
**Duration:** Six months  
**Approval:** 15th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1991)  
**Number:** INT/91/K02/A/95/99  
**Cancellation decision:** Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1994).  
**Reason:** Implementing organization failed to deliver substantive and financial reports on the implementation of the project. The Government of Saint Lucia refunded disbursed resources in 1997.
- (8) *Study of Production and Distribution of Pasture Seeds and Legumes to Smallholder Dairy Farmers*

9. **Submitter:** Southern African Development Community (SADC), Gaborone, Botswana  
**PGTF input:** US\$90,000  
**Number:** INT/92/K07  
**Duration:** 16 months  
**Approval:** 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)  
**Date of signature of relevant documents:** The project document was signed by the G-77 and UNDP on 26 August 1993.  
**Cancellation decision:** Twenty-first Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1997).  
**Reason:** The project was not implemented by the established deadline of 31 December 1996.
- (9) *Development of Agriculture Database for ASEAN*
10. **Submitter:** Government of Malaysia  
**PGTF input:** US\$94,000  
**Duration:** Two years  
**Approval:** 16th Annual Ministerial Meeting (New York, 1 October 1992)  
**Number:** INT/93/K04/A/95/99  
**Cancellation decision:** Eighteenth Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1994).  
**Reason:** Not implemented by the established deadline of 31 December 1994.
- (10) *Feasibility study for establishing a Central American hydrographic cooperation programme*
11. **Submitter:** Government of Panama  
**Subcontractor:** Instituto Geografico Nacional Tommy Guardia from Panama  
**PGTF input:** US\$38,500  
**Approval:** IFCC-VIII (Panama City, 30 August-3 September 1993)  
**Number:** INT/93/K06  
**Duration:** 4 months  
**Cancellation decision:** Twenty-first Annual Ministerial Meeting (September 1997).  
**Reason:** The project was not implemented by the sub-contracting agency. The Government of Panama refunded disbursed funds in 2000.
- (11) *Network of advisory centres for small and medium-sized enterprises in Mercosur*
12. **Submitter:** Fundacion Banco Mayo from Argentina  
**Subcontractor:** To be awarded if required  
**PGTF input:** US\$25,000  
**Other inputs:** US\$468,000 (estimated)

**Number: INT/98/K01**

**Duration: 6 months**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Cancellation decision: Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting (November 2001).**

**Reason: The project was not implemented by the established deadline of 31 December 2001.**

(12) *A trading/industrial cooperation scheme to promote intra-industry trade in ASEAN*

13. **Submitter: Secretariat of the Association of South-East Asian Nations (ASEAN), headquartered in Jakarta, Indonesia**

**PGTF input: US\$100,000**

**Number: INT/98/K13**

**Duration: 7 months**

**Approval: Twenty-second Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 25 September 1998)**

**Cancellation decision: Not required.**

**Reason: The project was withdrawn by the sponsors in December 2000, since as a result of a decision by the Economic Affairs Ministers of ASEAN, a similar activity was carried out by ASEAN in the context of a wider project.**

(13) *First Windward Islands exposition on the information technology sector*

14. **Submitter: National Development Corporation (NDC) of Saint Lucia.**

**Subcontractor: To be awarded if required**

**PGTF input: US\$35,000**

**Other inputs: US\$111,000 (estimated)**

**Number: INT/99/K06**

**Duration: Six months.**

**Approval: Twenty-third Annual Ministerial Meeting of the Group of 77 (New York, 24 September 1999)**

**Cancellation decision: Twenty-fifth Annual Ministerial Meeting (November 2001).**

**Reason: The project was not implemented by the established deadline of 31 December 2001.**

\*\*\*\*

**THE PEREZ-GUERRERO TRUST FUND (PGTF) FOR ECDC/TCDC**  
**STATUS OF PGTF-FUNDED PROJECTS: Approved, Authorized, Obligated and Amount available for Programming**  
**as of 19 July 2002**

**Opening balance of unexpended resources as per financial statements as of 1 January 2001**

6,816,382.84

<b>Add:</b>	Member Countries' Contributions <sup>1</sup> (yr. 2002)	12,289.90	
	Year 2001 Interest	283,815.36	
	Other Contributions:	49,500.00	
	Other Income:	(70,294.01)	
	Projected interest 1 January -31 December 02	248,891.00	
			524,202.25
	<b>Total resources Available</b>		<b>7,340,595.19</b>

<b>1)</b>	<b><u>Project disbursement/expenditure recorded in 2001 by UNDP Accounts and UNDP Treasury</u></b>		
<b>Less:</b>	<b>Project Disbursements Recorded in 2001</b>		
	INT/95/K01 Optimization of Crude PMSG	1,998.00	
	INT/95/K03 ECDC/TCDC Information Support to the Group of 77	25,560.00	
	INT/96/K04 Coop in Techn Training & Dev. Re. Primary Health Care in Lusophone African Countries	26,685.00	
	INT/96/K06 South-South Transfer of Experiences on Agricultural Development	9.98	
	INT/97/K01 Impact of Enhancing Environmental Standards on Int'l trade of South Asian Countries	19,847.87	
	INT/98/K02 Emergency control Moroccan Locust	11,942.93	
	INT/98/K09 Harmonization of Agri Policies of the COMESA Member States re. Cap	54,000.00	
	INT/98/K15 Regional Macroeconomic and sector Programme in West Africa	50,000.00	
	INT/98/K16 Strengthening Competitive Capacities of MSMEs	7,997.21	
	INT/99/K01 Development of Info Network & Database System for NAM CSSTC	27,000.00	
	INT/99/K03 Transportation Information System for "LAIA" Member Countries	20,929.47	
	INT/99/K07 Generation for ASEAN Science and Technology	30,600.00	
	INT/99/K10 Citrus Certification Programmes in Central America and the Caribbean	24,972.50	
	INT/00/K01 MERCOSUR Programme for on Integration Issues	36,006.12	
	INT/00/K02 Electronic Prototype Production Project (EPPP) in Ethiopia	36,155.62	
	INT/00/K07 Transborder Pilot Project in Mali, Burkina Faso and Niger (Asaouak)	29,700.00	
	INT/00/K08 Support to the Committee of Experts for the PGTF (Phase II)	20,480.00	
	INT/00/K10 Programme Ecuador/Colombia against Guatemalan Moth of Potatoes	18,406.27	
	Total Project Costs		442,290.97
	Administrative Costs		8,919.88
	Total Expenditures		451,210.85
	Unexpended Resources as of 31/12/01 (Incl. yr.2002 contr. & Interest)		<b>6,889,384.34</b>

<b>2)</b>	<b><u>Less Undisbursed authorizations/unrecorded expenditures</u></b>		
	INT/89/K11 The Caribbean and the 21st Century	(50,000.00)	
	INT/94/K02 Global System of Trade Preference among Developing Countries - Phase IV	(3,229.00)	
	INT/94/K03 TIN Man Centre for Global Est of Network PCC	(80,000.00)	
	INT/94/K06 Low Cost Housing Technology Programme	(3,846.00)	
	INT/95/K01 Optimization of the Process for Obtaining Crude	(2,766.00)	
	INT/96/K04 Health Policy in Primary Care	2,965.00	
	INT/96/K05 South-South Transfer of Experiences (IICA)	26,129.00	
	INT/97/K01 Impact of Enhancing Env. Standards on Int. Trade of South Asian Cos.	5,022.00	
	INT/97/K02 Biological Control of Pests & Weeds for Sus. Agriculture & Biodiversity	25,000.00	
	INT/97/K04 Gender Prog in Coops in Anean Reg	55,235.00	
	INT/98/K02 Emergency Control of the Moroccan Locust	24,546.00	
	INT/98/K03 Training of rice breeding experts	(535.00)	
	INT/98/K04 Women and Youth in the Sahel Reg	16,505.00	
	INT/98/K05 Build.Tech.Compet.in the Area of Conformity Ass.LA	45,000.00	
	INT/98/K07 Int. Youth Leadership Prom of SSC	20,000.00	
	INT/98/K10 Technology Linkage Sharing (TECHNOLINK)	90,000.00	
	INT/98/K12 Support for Regional Remote Sensing Activities	45,000.00	
	INT/98/K17 Strategies to Reduce Drug Demand in Colombia, Ecuador and Venezuela	7,736.00	
	INT/98/K18 A Kit for the Chagas Disease	35,000.00	
	INT/99/K01 Development of Info Network & Database System NAM CSSTC	3,000.00	
	INT/99/K02 Tech. Ass. Based Approach Standardization, Metrology & Quality Man.	2,387.00	
	INT/99/K03 Transportation Information for ALADI Mbr cos	13,065.00	
	INT/99/K04 Inland Fisheries Resources in the COMSA Reg.	34,200.00	
	INT/99/K05 Pacific Islands Regional Biomas (PIRBERAP) Fiji	30,600.00	
	INT/99/K09 Second Edition of CD Rom - Amazon Coop Treary	4,173.00	
	INT/99/K10 Certification Programmes in Central American & Caribbean	10,027.50	
	INT/99/K12 Promotion of Alt Renewable energy sources in	22,500.00	
	INT/99/K13 Supp Comm Pilot Project -BKFS, Niger, Mall	31,500.00	
	INT/99/K14 Community Pilot - Burkina Faso	31,500.00	
	INT/00/K01 Integration in Mercosur Member States	3,993.88	
	INT/00/K03 Agroforest in Amazon boarder areas	40,500.00	
	INT/00/K04 Training of Irigation and drainage designers in Asia	(36,000.00)	
	INT/00/K05 Application of Biological Farming Method Asian Dev. Countries	(36,000.00)	
	INT/00/K06 Asia Pacific Renaissance	25,000.00	
	INT/00/K07 Transborder Pilot Project Mali, BKF, Niger	29,700.00	
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>487,908.38</b>	

<sup>1</sup> Cyprus, UR Tanzania, DPR Korea, Thailand (August-December 2001 contributions excluded)

Annex to Unaudited Statement

*Undisbursed authorizations/unrecorded expenditures (continued)*

INT/00/K08	Support to the Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund (Phase II)	20,480.00
INT/00/K09	Study of pesticides on crop products in LA	31,500.00
INT/00/K10	Programme of Prevention and Control against Guatemalan Moth of Potato*	8,593.73
INT/00/K12	Strengthening livelihood of women potters	9,000.00
INT/00/K13	Transborder pilot project in Mali, BKF, Niger	29,700.00
INT/01/K02	Awassi Sheep Frozen Semen (Jordan and Palestine)	99,000.00
INT/01/K03	Watershed Management Northern Badia Region (Sirhan Basin/Jordan)	67,500.00
INT/01/K05	Distributed Modeling of Pipe Networks (Jordan)	72,000.00
INT/01/K06	TA and support to PMDER) LAC Region - ALADI	15,750.00
INT/01/K07	Water and Energy Optimization, Sugar & Alcohol (WESAP) - ICIDCA	77,400.00
	<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>430,923.73</b>

**Total**

Reserved resources (Fund Capital) <sup>1</sup>	<b>918,832.11</b>
Sub-Total	<b>5,000,000.00</b>
	<b>5,918,832.11</b>

Remaining Funds (6,889,384.34- 6,062,832.11)

**970,552.23**

Less Obligated and Earmarked Fund

Obligated<sup>2</sup>

**Remaining Budget of approved projects (incl. Obligatory 10% retained to ensure reporting compliance)**

INT/90/K08	Support to the PGTF Committee of Experts (final rev. rephasing to INT/00/K08)	(101,236.00)
INT/96/K02	Caribbean Regional	4,500.00
INT/97/K03	Integrated planning	7,000.00
INT/98/K05	Building tech.compet. In the area of conformity	5,000.00
INT/98/K09	Harmonization of agric.policies of the COMESA	6,000.00
INT/98/K10	Technology Linkage thru Sharing & Coop in DC's	10,000.00
INT/98/K11	Subregional Intellectual Prop System	17,684.00
INT/98/K12	Regional remote sensing activities	5,000.00
INT/98/K14	Stats Service Unit/Access of WB Database	6,036.00
INT/98/K15	Macro-economic & sectoral coop.programme	50,000.00
INT/99/K04	Inland Fisheries Resources in the COMESA Reg.	3,800.00
INT/99/K05	Pacific Islands Regional Biomass Energy (PIRBERAP) Fiji	3,400.00
INT/99/K07	Innovative Systems for Managing Revenue Generation for ASEAN S & T	3,400.00
INT/99/K12	Alt. Renewable Energy -Kenya	2,500.00
INT/99/K13	Support Comm Pilot Project -BKF, Mali, Niger	3,500.00
INT/99/K14	Support Comm Pilot Project - Burkina Faso	3,500.00
INT/00/K02	EPPP in Ethiopia	3,844.38
INT/00/K03	Agroforest in Amazon boarder areas	4,500.00
INT/00/K04	Training of Irrigation and drainage designers in Asia	4,000.00
INT/00/K05	Application of Biological Farming Method Developing Countries in Asia	4,000.00
INT/00/K07	Transborder Pilot Project Mali, BKF, Niger	3,300.00
INT/00/K08	Support to the Committee of Experts of the Perez-Guerrero Trust Fund (Phase II)	70,276.00
INT/00/K09	Study of pesticides on crop products in LA	3,500.00
INT/00/K10	Prevention and Control against Guatemalan Moth of Potato*	3,000.00
INT/00/K11	Development of Communities in the Kalahara Desert	35,000.00
INT/00/K12	Strengthening livelihood of women potters	1,000.00
INT/00/K13	Transborder pilot project in Mali, BKF, Niger	3,300.00
INT/01/K02	Awassi Sheep Frozen Semen (Jordan and Palestine)	11,000.00
INT/01/K03	Watershed Management Northern Badia Region (Sirhan Basin/Jordan)	7,500.00
INT/01/K05	Distributed Modeling of Pipe Networks (Jordan)	8,000.00
INT/01/K06	TA and support to PMDER) LAC Region - ALADI	1,750.00
INT/01/K07	Water and Energy Optimization, Sugar & Alcohol (WESAP) - ICIDCA	8,600.00
	<b>Total Obligated Funds</b>	<b>202,654.38</b>

1. Deposited in long-term high yield bonds
2. Signed project documents/subcontract documents finalized

Annex to Unaudited Statement

Earmarked funds approved <sup>3</sup>

INT/95/K03	ECDC/TCDC Info Support to G-77 - Budget Revision	24,000.00	
INT/98/K01	Network of advisory centers for SMEs in Mercosur	(25,000.00)	
INT/99/K06	First Windward Islands expo.on the information	(35,000.00)	
INT/99/K08	HRD & Training Int Trade for mbr states of CEMAC	22,000.00	
INT/99/K11	Support Bali POA of the G-77	30,000.00	
INT/01/K01	Quinoa multipurpose crop in agroindustry. In Adecn countries	74,900.00	
INT/01/K04	Maize breeding/germplasm DPRKorea/Africa	81,200.00	
INT/01/K08	Multilateral Trading System Training centre, Egypt	86,000.00	
<b>Total Earmarked Funds</b>		<b>258,100.00</b>	
<b>Total Obligated and Earmarked Funds</b>			<b>460,754.38</b>
Available for new projects <sup>4</sup> (826,552.23- 580,754.38)			<b>509,797.85</b>

3. Commitments where the project documents are to be finalized/budget revisions to be prepared  
 4. This figure includes projected interest for 1 Jan-31 December 2002